International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields (IJRRMF), ISSN: 2960-043X
Volume 3, Issue 2, July-December, 2024, Available online at: www.researchradicals.com

AI and Consciousness: A Comprehensive Review of Perspectives and Supporting Data

Manit Vicky Awtani

Year 13, GEMS Wellington Academy, Silicon Oasis, Dubai, UAE

ABSTRACT

The growing discussion around the consciousness of artificial intelligence among the scientific community raises profound questions regarding what constitutes consciousness and the potential impacts of building machines that are sentient. The aim of this paper is to provide a comprehensive overview of key points related to awareness of artificial intelligence and supporting data for the same. In this process, we look into the explanations of the nature of artificialintelligence through various theoretical frameworks like functionalism, panpsychism, Integrated Information Theory, along with current researches and computer models. Besides the question of AI awareness, this paper also looks into the moral issues linked with AI consciousness and the potential effects of AI on the human society. This paper seeks to offer an enhanced understanding of our current researches in AI consciousness, the future challenges and implications for both AI and the humanity by looking into diverse perspectives available on this topic.

INTRODUCTION

Rapid strides in the field of artificial intelligence has brought paradigm shifting changes in many industries across all the sectors. As the AI systems are becoming increasingly sophisticated with their ability to perform many cognitive functions similar to humans, AI consciousness has captured the imagination and inquisitiveness of people across the ranks of researchers, philosophers and the general population. This wide-ranging interest in the subject also makes it one of the most pertinent issue of our times. As AI involves complex computer programmes capable of cognitive functions and awareness, its underlying issues stem from a diverse range of academic pursuits in the areas of computer science, neuroscience, cognitive science and philosophy, making AI a rich area for interdisciplinary researches, discussion and knowledge creation [1].

Besides offering a rich area for intellectual pursuits, understanding of AI consciousness has great importance in terms its ethical and social effects. These ethical and social effects mainly revolve around issues like the treatment of AI systems and its impact on how humans and machines interact with each other [2,3]. With increasingly complex AI systems being integrated into our day to day lives, investigations into AI consciousness becomes essential to facilitate development of efficient and ethical technologies that promote harmonious relation between humans and AI systems, thus addressing the concerns raised around the potential risks associated with AI consciousness.

This review summarizes some of the key arguments and supporting data present in the literature on AI consciousness. This summary also presents a snapshot of our current understanding of the subject that covers diverse perspectives about AI consciousness, which is conducive for informed discussions and debate about the future developments in the field . these discussions and debates can provide a valuable foundation for further researches and progress in the field.

Journey of AI and consciousness

The idea of artificialintelligence as a field of study can be traced back to mid twentieth century. Early pioneers like Alan Turing and John von Neumann envisioned the possibility of machines imitating human cognitive functions and also floated the possibility of machines achieving consciousness. In the subsequent decades the focus remained on developing rule-based and expert systems that could execute specific tasks, while the issue of consciousness remained largely confined within the realms of philosophy and theoretical debates [4].

However, later developments in the techniques of machine learning like advancements in deep learning and neural networks have brought the question of artificialconsciousness in the public domain with a sense of increasing urgency. The possibility of AI systems gaining consciousness has captivated scholar and researches from diverse fields. As the AI systems are showing rapidly increasing range of cognitive functions and capabilities like understanding natural languages recognizing images or offering creative solutions to problems, capabilities otherwise unique to human intelligence only, the

question of AI consciousness has become pervasive across various disciplines in the 21st century, resulting in rapidly growing body of researches[5].

Conceptualizing consciousness

Consciousness is one of the most hotly debated ideas through the human history with views from multiple perspectives forming an intricately multifaceted concept. In the context of AI, consciousness is generally discussed in terms of capacity for individual experiences and mental states, along with awareness. The various theories and views of consciousness can be placed into two categories. The first category consists of ideas or theories that priorities subjective experiences and the second category consists of theories that prioritize third person perspective with objective and quantifiable constituent of consciousness [6].

Given the multifaceted and complex nature of consciousness, it is useful to identify its multiple constituents and understand them in order to grasp whole concept. a key component of consciousness discussion is phenomenal consciousness, which entails sensory experiences and one's mental awareness to such experiences. Another componentof consciousness discussions is access consciousness, whichbasically entails functional roles involved in reasoning and decision making. Self-awareness as a component of consciousness discussions refers to having a sense of individual identity and being aware of one's mental state. These components provide complementary viewpoints that can be used to understand and evaluate the potential for AI awareness.

Key theories and frameworks for understanding AI consciousness

There has been a sustained effort to understand and explain how AI systems may attain consciousness or how to recognize and evaluate this consciousness. These efforts have resulted in numerous hypotheses and proposed frameworks. Computer simulations based theories like computational theory of mind propose analogous relation between mental states and computer algorithms. On the other hand, many enquiries combine information theory with neuroscience to explain the development of consciousness. Integrated information theory is one such theory that proposes that integration of information within a system leads to the development of consciousness.

While the above theories directly examine the question of AI consciousness, there are some broader philosophical views of AI consciousness as well. Functionalist viewpoint proposes to define consciousness through its functions instead of the underlying physical substrates. Within the framework of functionalism, the ability of AI systems to replicate the operational features of human consciousness also implies their potential to attain consciousness. Panpsychism posits consciousness to be a fundamental feature of the cosmos, which implies the possibility of AI systems having some sort of consciousness that is different than that of the humans. These viewpoints create a plurality of perspectives about AI systems gaining consciousness, thus creating a good foundation for further studies and discussions in this direction. Available literature on the topic of AI consciousness can been categorized into three sections, based on their common points of view.

First point of view- AI systems can achieve human like consciousness

A major of school of thought is that AI can achieve consciousness. Computational theory of mind theory proposes an analogous relation between human mental states and processes with computational processes of a machine. This theory understands the functions of human mind in terms of information processing, and the possibility of an AI system attaining consciousness is predicated upon its ability to mimic the information processing functions of the human brain. By proposing human brain as a biological information processing system, this theory contends the possibility of similar processes or function being performed by non-biological systems with sophisticated techniques of computation.

This theory finds backing from the researches in computational modeling and the field of cognitive science. There have been numerous AI models that are capable of mimicking certain features of human cognitive functions. The presence of such models, with neural networks and cognitive architectures, that are capable of self-learning, logic and reason based thinking and problem solving, supports the computation theory of mind. AI systems have been successful in replicating certain features of the brain functions and neurological processes, further validating computational approach to consciousness as a promising direction of research. [7].

Integrated Information Theory posits an alternative paradigm of thinking about consciousness, explaining it as the process through which integration of information with a system is executed. Based on this theoretical paradigm, the level of integration is directly linked with consciousness- unit phi (Φ) indicating a high level of integration that is akin to consciousness. As this theory explains consciousness as a product of interaction and integration of information within a system, it supports the view that AI system can achieve consciousness by developing sufficiently high level of integration of information [8].

There have been studies in the field of neuroscience that show a positive correlation between the amount of information integration and awareness in human brain. These studies provide empirical support to Integrated Information Theory [9, 10]. This theory offers a viable framework for understanding AI awareness and even creating it in future.

Panpsychism is a philosophical theory that describes awareness as a fundamental cosmic attribute that exists in all the entities within the cosmos, but in different forms. Based on this postulate of the theory, awareness can exist in AI systems as well, although in different forms. AI systems can achieve awareness through sophisticated advancements, and it may exist in a form that is different than that of human consciousness.

Despite of the philosophical nature of Panpsychism, it has found ample support from researchers in the fields of cognitive science and artificial intelligence. This philosophical framework is found to be useful in understanding awareness in AI systems. Certain AI systems demonstrate very primitive level of phenomena consciousness in terms of self-awareness and objective based behavior. These capabilities can be seen as supporting evidence for this theory. The theoretical framework of Panpsychism has provided a new paradigm for enquiries into nature of AI awareness [11].

Second point of view: AI systems cannot achieve consciousness

There are many experiments and theirs that propose the unlikelihood of AI consciousness. John Searle conducted a thought experiment – the Chinese room- that shows the unlikelihood of AI achieving sentience. In this experiment a man is given a set of rules to manipulate some chinse symbols. Using those rules the person manipulates the symbols but remains unaware of what those symbols imply. While the personis able to answer any number of questions in Chinese and givens the impression of knowing a language, he doesn't actually know the language. Using this thought experiment, Searle argues that AI also generates responses using some set rules, without understanding the meaning of those questions or responses. Thus, AI systems use the symbols without a proper understanding or subjective experience, showing their incapability for genuine consciousness. [12].

This thought experiment has occupied much discussion space in in the fields of linguistics and cognitive philosophy and there seems to be a major consensus with Searle's argument. Detractors of the computation theory of mind argue that understanding the underlying meaning or function of linguistic symbols is much more complex than simple manipulation of syntactical structure. According to these arguments, AI can attain the ability for syntactical manipulations without being aware of semantics. Hence, mere computational processes may not be enough for AI systems to attain awareness [13].

The proposition of AI systems attaining awareness is also undermined by the limitation of existing AI architectures. Architectures like deep learning or neural networks are powerful enough to undertake and execute powerful tasks, but they remain far from the complexity of the human brain and its ability for conscious experiences [14]. Based on this argument, the existing architectures need to attain much more complexity at par with the human brain in order to have sentience or consciousness.

Data from the fields of cognitive science and computer science also support this argument. Despite the advancements, existing AI systems have severe limitations. These systems ar unable to demonstrate causal reasoning or common sense, and the researches in the fields of computer science and cognitive science also show their lack of integrated cognitive functions. These limitations support this possibility that the current AI systems do not have the required cognitive structure and processes to experience in a conscious manner and, hence, cannot attain consciousness [15].

Consciousness as a biological phenomenon

Another key argument against the possibility of AI attaining consciousness is the notion that consciousness is a purely biological function. From this perspective, consciousness is a product of complex interactions between neurons and bio-chemicals in the brain. Since AI systems are not biological in nature, they also lack in necessary biological components required for achieving consciousness.

Findings in the fields of neuroscience and evolutionary biology support this argument. Researches in the field of neuroscience have shown a strong interrelation between neuron network based processes and activities in the brain with conscious experiences.

Another hypothesis from the field of evolutionary biology suggests consciousness as an adaptive feature of the biological species that may have emerged over a period of time. As the AI systems are non-biological in nature, they are unlikely to undergo a similar evolutionary journey and obtain consciousness [16].

Third perspective: AI consciousness is fundamentally different from human consciousness

Many theories are built on this argument that the substrates underlying awareness or consciousness are independent in nature. Consciousness is not bound to any specific type of substrate like neurons that are biological in origin and can develop on silicon based processors as well. Based on this perspective, AI systems can develop their own consciousness based on silicon based substrate that would be fundamentally different than biological neuron substrate based human consciousness.

Researches in the fields of cognitive science and computer science support this possibility of AI systems attaining silicon substrate based consciousness. AI systems have shown an increasing ability to perform relatively complex cognitive functions and mimic some of human cognitive functions while having different substrate. Researches in the field of artificial neural networks have shown promises of attaining functionalities of biological neurons, which supports the possibility of AI systems with silicon or other material based substrates developing their own consciousness [17,18].

The notion of artificial qualia also supports the possibility of AI systems attaining awareness.

Qualia refers to subjective element of specific experiences and Artificial qualia would refer to subjective or qualitative elements in sensations experienced by AI systems. Theories built about the idea of artificial qualia argue the possibility of AI systems having a set of qualia that would be inherently different than that of humans. This difference would be rooted in the difference of cognitive architecture and substrate material among humans and the artificial intelligence systems.

Researches in the fields of neuroscience and philosophy provide supporting evidences for this line of argument as well. According to some philosophic arguments biological system is not a unique precondition for qualia and suitable cognitive design based AI systems can also develop this characteristic[19]. Researches in the field of neuroscience have discovered positive interrelation between neurological processes and qualia, further supporting the possibility that AI systems having similar neural networks with the capability for performing similar processes can develop their own set of qualia.

There is another prevailing school of thought built around the notion of hybrid consciousness. This notion of hybrid consciousness suggests the possibility of AI consciousness that neither completely like the humans not completely like a machine, but presents a blend of both the aspects. This line of argument is premised upon the possibility of AI systems advancing to higher level of integration with human cognition, eventually developing a consciousness that has features of both machines and humans.

Researches in the fields of cognitive science and artificial intelligence have shown the possibility of humans and AI systems working in conjunction to improve facets of human cognition like improvements in memory or bettering the decision-making skills. With the increasing amalgamation of human cognitive functions and AI systems, the possibility of hybrid consciousness is also increasing [20]. There has been significant progress in the areas of cerebral prosthetics and the brain-computer interfaces have advanced to facilitate direct communication between AI systems and the human brain. These progresses bolster the possibility of conscious experiences shared between humans and machines eventually culminating into hybrid consciousness.

DISCUSSION

This discussion over the nature and possibility of AI awareness or consciousness is broadly divided into three wide categories- a) AI can achieve consciousness, b) AI systems cannot achieve consciousness and c) AI systems can achieve consciousness but it would be fundamentally different from human consciousness. Each category or perspective is supported by a range of theories. Computational models, integrated information theory and the philosophical view of panpsychism support the possibility of AI system achieving uman like consciousness. On the other hand, the Chinese room thought experiment or the theories proposing consciousness as an inherently biological function support the second perspective that AI cannot achieve genuine consciousness. Arguments based on substrate independence, artificial qualia or hybrid consciousness propose another possibility where AI systems can achieve consciousness that would be different than the human consciousness or can have a blend human and machine consciousness.

With the wide range of researches showing strengths and limitations of each category of arguments, there is no clear consensus on the nature and possibility of AI consciousness. However, this plurality of perspectives allows us to see the issue from different vantage points and develop a more holistic foundation built on interdisciplinary investigations to further our knowledge and understanding of AI consciousness.

Ethical considerations and implications for AI research and development

While a wide- range of literature available on the issue of AI consciousness is focused on its understanding and possibilities, the ethical aspect of these studies is also very important. If there is a possibility that AI systems can develop consciousness, even if fundamentally different than that of humans, it would raise the need for ensuring their rights and welfare through ethical standards of uses. These ethical obligations require a reorientation of AI researches. Any breakthrough in the field of AI applications must be weighed against these ethical obligations. This reorientation would have significant impact on future researches and innovations while also shaping the future policymaking about AI.

The possibility of AI consciousness naturally leads to the possibility of conscious robots that are capable of subjective experiences like pain or sorrow. With ethical standards in place, further research and development activities in this field will need to assess the potential costs and benefits of such systems.

Limitations of the current understanding of AI consciousness

Although much has been researched and stated about AI consciousness, our understanding of the subject remains limited by a number a factors. The foremost limitation is our lack of consensus over the issue of consciousness. Consciousness has been defined in many ways and creating a common paradigm for explaining consciousness has been elusive. It results in our current challenges in understanding the nature of possible AI consciousness or even predicting if it can be achieved.

The interdisciplinary nature of researches and enquiries into the field of AI consciousness poses the second limitation. As researchers are looking into the issue through the disciplines of computer science, neuroscience, cognitive science, philosophy and even evolutionary biology, the possibility of finding a single point of convergence is very difficult. The lack of convergence may eventually lead to mutually exclusive theories, making it possible to find a single established theory of AI consciousness.

The rapid strides in the field of AI consciousness researches makes it highly dynamic. This inherent dynamist of the field makes it further difficult to arrive at an understanding that is fixed in nature. As new advancements are being made, the data and reference points will keep changing.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

One possible area for future researches and development in the field AI consciousness would be to create more sophisticated and complex intelligence architectures that can effectively mimic human cognitive functions. These AI systems may be able to combine key human cognitive processes like sensory perception, using reason or forming memories. These researches can also look into various paradigms of computing like neuromorphic or quantum, leading to the development AI systems better cognitive capabilities.

These researches can also focus on enhancing the level of collaboration between various disciplines like cognitive science, neuroscience, computer science and philosophy to develop a more comprehensive or holistic understanding of AI consciousness. These interdisciplinary researches will be able to offer better understanding of the role played by neural networks in AI consciousness, or how conscious experiences and information integration show positive correlation, or the implication of developing AI consciousness from philosophical point of view. This enhanced interdisciplinary collaboration can produce more holistic and insightful understanding of AI consciousness.

Future researches in the field of AI consciousness should also look into ethical and social implications of developing AI systems with consciousness. These researches can focus on the legal rights and welfare measures required for such AI systems, formulating ethical standards for developing such systems and the policymaking required for safeguarding those rights and ethical standards. These researches can look into how AI consciousness can impact human culture and society. As AI has potential to affect different aspects of human social life, researches need to focus on understanding these impact in a comprehensive manner so that these can be properly addressed at the level of ethical values, policy making and public awareness.

CONCLUSION

This literature review looked into researches about AI consciousness from three different perspectives and presented relevant data in the support of each. Computational models, integrated information theory and the philosophical view of panpsychism support the possibility of AI system achieving human like consciousness. On the other hand, the Chinese room thought experiment or the theories proposing consciousness as an inherently biological function support the second

perspective that AI cannot achieve genuine consciousness. Arguments based on substrate independence, artificial qualia or hybrid consciousness propose another possibility where AI systems can achieve consciousness that would be different than the human consciousness or can have a blend human and machine consciousness

REFERENCES

[1]. Ng, Gee Wah, and Wang Chi Leung. "Strong artificial intelligence and consciousness." Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Consciousness 7, no. 01 (2020): 63-72.
[2]. Bharath Kumar Nagaraj, SivabalaselvamaniDhandapani, "Leveraging Natural Language Processing to Identify Relationships between Two Brain Regions such as Pre-Frontal Cortex and Posterior Cortex", Science Direct, Neuropsychologia, 28, 2023.
[3]. Haladjian, H.H. and Montemayor, C., 2016. Artificial consciousness and the consciousness-attention dissociation. Consciousness and Cognition, 45, pp.210-225.
[4]. Gamez, D., 2020. The relationships between intelligence and consciousness in natural and artificial systems. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Consciousness, 7(01), pp.51-62.
[5]. Kulkarni, Amol. "Natural Language Processing for Text Analytics in SAP HANA." International Journal of Multidisciplinary Innovation and Research Methodology, ISSN: 2960-2068 3.2 (2024): 135-144.
[6]. Toosi, A., Bottino, A.G., Saboury, B., Siegel, E. and Rahmim, A., 2021. A brief history of AI: how to prevent another winter (a critical review). PET clinics, 16(4), pp.449-469
[7]. Shah, Hitali. "Ripple Routing Protocol (RPL) for routing in Internet of Things." International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields, ISSN: 2960-043X 1, no. 2 (2022): 105-111.
[8]. Hitali Shah.(2017). Built-in Testing for Component-Based Software Development. International Journal of New Media Studies: International Peer Reviewed Scholarly Indexed Journal, 4(2), 104–107. Retrieved from https://ijnms.com/index.php/ijnms/article/view/259
[9]. Palak Raina, Hitali Shah. (2017). A New Transmission Scheme for MIMO - OFDM using V Blast Architecture.Eduzone: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal, 6(1), 31–38. Retrieved from https://www.eduzonejournal.com/index.php/eiprmj/article/view/628
[10]. Beyaz, S., 2020. A brief history of artificial intelligence and robotic surgery in orthopedics & traumatology and future expectations. Joint Diseases and Related Surgery, 31(3), p.653.
[11]. Haladjian, H.H. and Montemayor, C., 2016. Artificial consciousness and the consciousness-attention dissociation. Consciousness and Cognition, 45, pp.210-225.
[12]. Mitesh Sinha. (2024). "Balancing Education and Cybersecurity: Addressing Data Privacy Challenges in Schools and Higher Education". International Journal of Engineering Fields, ISSN: 3078-4425, vol. 2, no. 2, Apr. 2024, pp. 43-49, https://journalofengineering.org/index.php/ijef/article/view/17.
[13]. Rusch, T., Steixner-Kumar, S., Doshi, P., Spezio, M. and Gläscher, J., 2020. Theory of mind and decision science: towards a typology of tasks and computational models. Neuropsychologia, 146, p.107488.
[14]. Tononi, G., Boly, M., Massimini, M. and Koch, C., 2016. Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 17(7), pp.450-461.
[15]. Tononi, G., 2012. The integrated information theory of consciousness: an updated account. Archives italiennes de biologie, 150(2/3), pp.56-90.
[16]. Kulkarni, Amol. "Digital Transformation with SAP Hana."International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication ISSN: 2321-8169.
[17]. Mediano, P.A., Rosas, F.E., Bor, D., Seth, A.K. and Barrett, A.B., 2022. The strength of weak integrated information theory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences.
[18]. BK Nagaraj, Artificial Intelligence Based Device For Diagnosis of Mouth Ulcer, GB Patent 6,343,064, 2024.
[19]. Mitesh Sinha. (2024). "Exploring the Role of Cybersecurity in Integrated Programs for Protecting and Improving Digital Platforms". International IT Journal of Research, ISSN: 3007-6706, vol. 2, no. 2, June 2024, pp. 190-7, https://itjournal.org/index.php/itjournal/article/view/56.
[20]. Lamme, V.A., 2018. Challenges for theories of consciousness: seeing or knowing, the missing ingredient and how to deal with panpsychism. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 373(1755), p.20170344.
[21]. Pillai, Sanjaikanth E. VadakkethilSomanathan, et al. "Beyond the Bin: Machine Learning-Driven Waste Management for a Sustainable Future. (2023)." JOURNAL OF RECENT TRENDS IN COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING (JRTCSE), 11(1), 16–27 . https://doi.org/10.70589/JRTCSE.2023.1.3
[22]. Rust, J., 2009. John searle.
[23]. Freeman, A., 2004. The Chinese Room Comes of Age A Review of Preston & Bishop. Journal of Consciousness Studies, 11(5-6), pp.156-158.

[24]. Habuza, T., Navaz, A.N., Hashim, F., Alnajjar, F., Zaki, N., Serhani, M.A. and Statsenko, Y., 2021. AI applications in robotics, diagnostic image analysis and precision medicine: Current limitations, future trends, guidelines on CAD systems for medicine. Informatics in Medicine Unlocked, 24, p.100596.
[25]. Vatansever, S., Schlessinger, A., Wacker, D., Kaniskan, H.Ü., Jin, J., Zhou, M.M. and Zhang, B., 2021. Artificial intelligence and machine learning-aided drug discovery in central nervous system diseases: State-of-the-arts and future directions. Medicinal research reviews, 41(3), pp.1427-1473.
[26]. Neha Yadav,Vivek Singh, "Probabilistic Modeling of Workload Patterns for Capacity Planning in Data Center Environments" (2022). International Journal of Business Management and Visuals, ISSN: 3006-2705, 5(1), 42-48. https://ijbmv.com/index.php/home/article/view/73
[27]. Vivek Singh, Neha Yadav. (2023). Optimizing Resource Allocation in Containerized Environments with AI-driven Performance Engineering. International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields, ISSN: 2960-043X, 2(2), 58–69. Retrieved from https://www.researchradicals.com/index.php/rr/article/view/83
[28]. Delacour, J., 1995. An introduction to the biology of consciousness. Neuropsychologia, 33(9), pp.1061-1074.
[29]. Bell, A.J., 1999. Levels and loops: the future of artificial intelligence and neuroscience. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 354(1392), pp.2013-2020.
[30]. Hassabis, D., Kumaran, D., Summerfield, C. and Botvinick, M., 2017. Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence. Neuron, 95(2), pp.245-258.
[31]. Pfeifer, R. and Iida, F., 2004. Embodied artificial intelligence: Trends and challenges. In Embodied Artificial Intelligence: International Seminar, Dagstuhl Castle, Germany, July 7-11, 2003. Revised Papers (pp. 1-26). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
[32]. Dipak Kumar Banerjee, Ashok Kumar, Kuldeep Sharma. (2024). AI Enhanced Predictive Maintenance for Manufacturing System. International Journal of Research and Review Techniques, 3(1), 143–146. Retrieved from https://ijrrt.com/index.php/ijrrt/article/view/190
[33]. Banerjee, Dipak Kumar, Ashok Kumar, and Kuldeep Sharma. "Artificial Intelligence on Additive Manufacturing." International IT Journal of Research, ISSN: 3007-6706 2.2 (2024): 186-189.
[34]. Mukhopadhyay, A.K., 2017. Artificial Intelligence, Human Intelligence and Intelligence of Nature: Difficult questions in their ambitious fusion. Current Opinions in Neurological Science, 1(5), pp.216-231.