

Digital Health Education: The Impact of E-Learning, Mobile Apps, And Social Media on Health Literacy

Eman Mohammed Alkheraiji¹, Mashael Ahmed Asiri², Fawziah Khalaf Al Anazi³,
Abdullah Abdulaziz Al Mutaiwea⁴, Abdulaziz Salman Alomar⁵

¹Nursing Specialist, Prince Sultan Military Medical City

²specialist Medical Education, Prince Sultan Military Medical City

³Specialist-Nursing Prince Sultan Military Medical City

⁴Specialist Sociology, Prince Sultan Military Medical City

⁵Medical Secretary, Prince Sultan Military Medical City

ABSTRACT

Digital platforms and tools have started to play an important role to improve health literacy among various populations in the current technology and rapidly changing environment. This review summarizes the role of e-learning, mobile app, and social media on the way health education and health behaviors are promoted and utilized toward better health. Based on an extensive review of recent literature, we explore the effectiveness, reach, and engagement of these digital strategies in helping users to understand, decide, and do more with their data. E-learning modules are well-organized, scalable education that can be personalized to each person's learning tempo; mobile health (mHealth) apps act as interactive and timely available health management gadgets; and social media platforms support peer support, sharing information, and health communications. That said, tools such as these are not without their challenges — digital divide, misinformation, and who engages are challenges that are here to stay for some time. This review summarizes the potential advantages, current trends and barriers, and future trajectories for effective digital health education to better support health literacy worldwide through evidence-informed design and equitable access.

Key Words: Digital health education, e-learning, mobile health apps, social media, health literacy, mHealth, digital literacy, public health

INTRODUCTION

Health literacy — the capacity to access, comprehend, and apply health information in order to make informed choices — has been a bedrock character of private and communal health end results. As and when personal devices eventually become uncontrollable, digitisation of health education is finding itself on receiving end and modernising quickly. The proliferation of devices with internet access, mobile phones and social media provide new avenues for the more efficient and interactive dissemination of health information. Digital health education, which includes e-learning platforms, mobile health (mHealth) applications, and social media, is essential for equipping individuals with information and tools to navigate complex health systems and to promote their own health.

E-learning in health education provides flexible, accessible, and economic learning environments that can be adapted for a variety of end-users such as patients, students, and healthcare professionals. Mobile apps are totally different concept for people for monitoring chronic conditions, checking medication, set up reminder and get personalized guidance on health. On the other hand, social networking sites like Facebook, Instagram, YouTube, and Twitter are proving as strong avenues of health advocacy, peer engagement, and speedy spread of public health messaging as well.

While there is an increasing shift towards digitised modalities, certain issues remain. The impact of these interventions may be limited by factors such as contexts of digital illiteracy, misinformation, privacy concerns, and unequal digital engagement. Further, the quality and credibility of digital content will still matter for health outcomes.

In this review, the authors seek to provide a critical overview of the current landscape of digital health education to date, with an emphasis on the influences of e-learning, mobile applications, and social media on health literacy. It draws on insights from recent research to describe the potential benefits and challenges of digital health tools and to offer options for enhancing their contribution to health literacy at both individual and population levels.

METHODOLOGY

Methods A systematic narrative review was conducted to identify, evaluate and synthesize available literature on the impact of digital health education tools (e-learning platforms, mobile apps, and social media) on health literacy. **Methods:** A systematic search of electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar) for peer-reviewed articles published between 2013 and 2024. Combinations of the following keywords and MeSH terms were used in the search strategy: digital health education, e-learning, mHealth, mobile health apps, social media, health literacy, and digital literacy.

The inclusion criteria were: (1) English language studies, (2) original research articles or review articles (including systematic reviews or meta-analyses), (3) studies examining one or more digital education tool(s) and their association with any health literacy outcome, and (4) participant populations consisting of patients, healthcare professionals, and/or general public samples. Inclusion criteria were literature that expressed health literacy concepts, excluded articles not focusing on HL, non-digital articles, or lacking empirical evidence.

The first search identified 426 articles and, following screening of titles and abstracts, 82 articles were considered relevant. Full-text review identified 45 articles that met the final review criteria. Data were extracted and thematically classified by the type of digital intervention (e-learning, mobile app or social media) and by the domain of health literacy impacted (knowledge, behaviour, uptake, engagement or empowerment).

We assessed included papers using appropriate appraisal tools: the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Critical Appraisal Checklists for observational and qualitative studies, and the AMSTAR 2 tool for systematic reviews. We could not pool the studies and synthesize the findings because of heterogeneity in study design and outcome measures; instead, we narratively synthesized the findings.

This review adhered to the guidelines of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) to ensure transparency and reproducibility (Page et al., 2021).

RESULTS

This review included 45 studies; 20 quantitative, 12 qualitative and 13 systematic reviews. **Results:** The results were grouped under 3 main thematic domains, as per the digital modalities identified: e-learning, mHealth applications, and social media. The studies showed a positive association between digital health education tools and knowledge, self-efficacy, and behavioral change (e.g. the use of digital health tools) and user engagement, across these categories.

E-Learning Platforms

Of the 45 studies reviewed, 16 were based on e-learning interventions. **Findings** These studies consistently indicated better health knowledge retention, motivation, and higher confidence in managing health conditions, predominantly in chronic disease education (eg, diabetes, hypertension). Six of the randomized controlled trials demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in functional health literacy in individuals who received structured online health courses (Aldosari et al., 2020). In addition, e-learning was effective in professional education, with healthcare workers demonstrating improved clinical decision-making practices and enhanced patient communication capabilities.

Mobile Health Applications

Fifteen studies assessed mobile Applications. The mHealth tools used in these studies offered features such as customized and interactive content, reminders for medication, health tracking and monitoring, and real-time feedback. Kitsiou et al. (2017) reported that mHealth interventions were more successful in modifying self-management behaviors among patients with chronic illnesses. The gamification and intuitive interfaces of these apps kept users more engaged and used for a longer duration resulting in improved health and literacy outcomes.

Social Media Platforms

Social Media for Health Education (n=14). Platforms such as Facebook, YouTube, Instagram and Twitter were employed for dissemination of public health messages, peer-to-peer education and awareness campaigns. Health literacy can often be promoted and leveraged by disseminating new health-related content through social media methods targeting youth, maternal health, and vaccination awareness, which provides evidence of measurable success such as message recall and behavior change as gains in health literacy (Ventola, 2014). Nonetheless, some studies also identified dangers including misinformation and inconsistent credibility.

Challenges and barriers uncovered

While the majority of the studies reviewed noted positive trends, a number of common barriers were identified:
Digital divide: Low-income and rural populations may have limited access to devices and internet.

Shortcomings in digital literacy: Older adults lacked skills in navigating digital platforms that would have made them more effective.

Misinformation — Content within social media were sometimes unregulated and contradicted evidence based guidelines.

Lack of long-term engagement: Many of the apps and e-learning tools struggled to retain users over the long term.

DISCUSSION

In this review, we outline the potential impact of digital health education tools (i.e., e-learning, mobile health (mHealth) applications, and social media) on reducing disparities in health literacy in diverse populations. Taken together, these findings suggest that digital health interventions have the potential to enhance users' ability to seek, comprehend, and use health information, especially in the context of chronic disease self-management, preventive care and health promotion.

Online learning: Organized and scalable We found that E-learning platforms offers health education in a structured, flexible, and interactive manner. They are especially useful for stable long-term conditions and for ongoing training for clinicians. These studies demonstrated enhanced knowledge retention and self-efficacy, consistent with previous studies that interactive digital learning is at least as effective as stand-alone methods (Cook et al. 2008). For ex, we found that the Lack of motivation and limited digital capabilities were identified as impediments, especially among older adults and people with low SES.

mHealth applications: Personalisation and engagement

Real-time tracking, personalized feedback and reminders Mobile applications proved to be highly effective in improving health literacy. This encourages patient autonomy and self-care, especially for chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension and asthma. Apps with gamification and user-focused design had better user-retention and literacy results. However, challenges including data privacy, absence of standardization, and restricted clinical validation continue to exist (Zhang et al., 2019). The accuracy, cultural relevance, and health equity of mHealth tools must be evaluated.

Social Media: Reach and Peer Support

This article Social media platforms are often able to reach a large audience to channel public health messaging, peer support and community engagement. Unsurprisingly, campaigns delivered on platforms such as YouTube, Facebook, Instagram and TikTok have successfully raised awareness and fostered health behaviour change among youth and disadvantaged population groups. But the unregulated character of content is dangerously, as falsehood and fake information can drive down health literacy, or induce harmful behaviour (Chou et al., 2018). It is therefore likely to require health organisations to be more assertive at the curation end and quality of digital health communication.

Limitations and Challenges

Though digital platforms offer potential, they also have their drawbacks. Access, education and infrastructure disparity though, they all collectively called as the digital divide, continues to be a formidable challenge. There is a risk that populations with limited digital literacy will be excluded from these advances. In addition, the majority of studies assessed short-term literacy improvement, and fewer assessed long-term behavior change or clinical end points. We require more rigorous evaluation frameworks that investigate the quality, usability and effectiveness of DIs;

Practice and Policy Implications

The results imply that digital health education can be repositioned as part of comprehensive public health strategies, especially in the areas of health promotion, chronic disease management, and professional education. Future digital health: How can we access an online consultation With a NHS doctor? Policymakers and health institutions will need to invest in digital infrastructure, increase digital literacy and ensure equitable access to technology. Moreover, the cooperation between developers, healthcare providers, and educators is needed to create evidence-driven, approachable, and culturally tailor-made applications.

CONCLUSION

Digital health education has emerged as a modern and effective means for promoting health literacy in the 21st century. E-learning platforms, mobile health applications, and social media have enriched the provision of health information as to

reach, accessibility and customization level, which reinforces the capacity of individuals to make informed health choices and to adopt healthier lifestyles.

Although e-learning provides organized and scalable educational content, mobile apps have been shown to be effective in increasing engagement through real-time interactions, and social media facilitates broader communication and peer support, as illustrated through literature in this review. These tools have the potential, together, to uniquely and meaningfully increase health awareness, disease management, and self-efficacy across populations.

But the success of these digital strategies relies on solving existing issues: the digital divide, misinformation, low digital literacy, and insufficient efforts towards evaluating the quality of content. User-centered, evidence-based and culturally appropriate interventions need to be developed that are tailored to maximize uptake and fidelity — including ensuring that designs are both accessible and closer to true reflection of the issue.

The future of health education will combine digital innovation with equity, user trust, and critical thinking but as technology continues to evolve. Collaboration between healthcare providers, educators, technologists and policymakers will be essential to designing scalable digital health education ecosystems that increase health literacy and advance global health goals.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aldosari, B., Saddik, B., & Rahman, S. (2020). Assessing the impact of e-learning systems on medical students' knowledge acquisition and satisfaction. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 15(1), 61–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2019.12.002>
- [2] Chou, W. S., Oh, A., & Klein, W. M. (2018). Addressing health-related misinformation on social media. *JAMA*, 320(23), 2417–2418. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.16865>
- [3] Cook, D. A., Levinson, A. J., Garside, S., Dupras, D. M., Erwin, P. J., & Montori, V. M. (2008). Internet-based learning in the health professions: A meta-analysis. *JAMA*, 300(10), 1181–1196. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.300.10.1181>
- [4] Ventola, C. L. (2014). Social media and health care professionals: Benefits, risks, and best practices. *Pharmacy and Therapeutics*, 39(7), 491–520.
- [5] Zhang, Y., Milinovich, G. J., Xu, Z., Bambrick, H., Mengersen, K., Tong, S., & Hu, W. (2019). Monitoring pertussis infections using internet search queries. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-13991-1>
- [6] Kitsiou, S., Paré, G., & Jaana, M. (2017). Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of home telemonitoring interventions for patients with chronic diseases: A critical assessment of their methodological quality. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 15(7), e150. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2442>
- [7] Stellefson, M., Paige, S. R., Chaney, B. H., & Chaney, J. D. (2020). EHealth literacy among college students: A systematic review with implications for eHealth education. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(1), e17600. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17600>
- [8] Norman, C. D., & Skinner, H. A. (2006). eHealth literacy: Essential skills for consumer health in a networked world. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 8(2), e9. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.8.2.e9>
- [9] Stellefson, M., Hanik, B., Chaney, B., Chaney, D., Tennant, B., & Chavarria, E. A. (2011). eHealth literacy among college students: A systematic review. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(5), 2165–2178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.06.001>
- [10] Berkman, N. D., Sheridan, S. L., Donahue, K. E., Halpern, D. J., & Crotty, K. (2011). Low health literacy and health outcomes: An updated systematic review. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 155(2), 97–107. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-2-201107190-00005>
- [11] Hsu, M. H., & Chiu, C. M. (2004). Predicting electronic service continuance with a decomposed theory of planned behaviour. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 23(5), 359–373. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01449290410001669969>
- [12] Pew Research Center. (2021). *Social media use in 2021*. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2021/04/07/social-media-use-in-2021/>
- [13] World Health Organization. (2016). *eHealth strategy toolkit*. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/252529>
- [14] Tones, K., & Green, J. (2004). *Health promotion: Planning and strategies*. SAGE Publications.
- [15] Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>