International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields (IJRRMF), ISSN: 2960-043X
Volume 4, Issue 2, July-December, 2025, Available online at: www.researchradicals.com

Low-Dose CT Protocols and Image Quality Optimization Techniques

Aiman Mohammed Alamri[1], Mohammed Mushabbab Alamri[2], Meshari Ahmed Alzahrani[3]

[1,2,3]Radiology Technologist, Alnakheel Medical Complex ,Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Background:-Computed tomography (CT) is a cornerstone of modern diagnostic imaging, but its reliance on ionizing radiation raises concerns about cumulative exposure risks. Low-dose CT (LDCT) protocols have been developed to balance diagnostic accuracy with radiation safety, guided by the principle of ALARA ("As Low As Reasonably Achievable"). Advances in imaging hardware, reconstruction algorithms, and patient-centered strategies have made significant progress toward safe yet effective imaging.

Methodology:-This review synthesizes scientific evidence, recent clinical trials, and technological advances related to LDCT. Key areas include CT dosimetry and radiation risk, iterative and AI-assisted image reconstruction, hardware innovations such as dual-source CT and spectral shaping, and patient-specific protocol tailoring. The analysis also covers clinical applications including lung cancer screening, COVID-19 imaging, musculoskeletal assessments, and pediatric CT.

Results:-LDCT protocols demonstrated up to 90% radiation dose reduction while maintaining diagnostic accuracy across applications. Iterative reconstruction (IR) and model-based IR significantly reduced noise and preserved lesion conspicuity. AI-driven reconstruction and photon-counting detectors further enhanced image quality at ultralow doses. Clinical trials confirmed LDCT's effectiveness in reducing lung cancer mortality, accurately diagnosing COVID-19 pneumonia, and providing reliable trauma and oncology imaging. Patient-centered approaches, particularly in pediatrics, enabled individualized dose optimization without compromising clinical outcomes.

Conclusion:-Low-dose CT, supported by technological innovations and protocol optimization, has transformed medical imaging into a safer, patient-centered modality. While challenges persist in balancing dose reduction with diagnostic sensitivity, future directions such as deep learning-based reconstruction and photon-counting detectors promise greater precision and safety. LDCT stands as a critical advancement in radiology, reinforcing diagnostic confidence while minimizing radiation risks.

Keywords: Low-dose CT, ALARA, Radiation safety, Iterative reconstruction, Image quality, Photon-counting CT, AI in imaging, Lung cancer screening.

INTRODUCTION

Low dose computed tomography (LDCT) has emerged as a transformative tool in medical imaging, offering unprecedented capabilities to visualize internal structures with high resolution while aiming to minimize the ionizing radiation exposure to patients. The advent and adoption of CT imaging have revolutionized the medical field, providing clinicians with rapid, detailed cross-sectional images essential for accurate diagnosis, therapeutic planning, and follow-up in a broad spectrum of diseases ranging from oncologic, cardiovascular, pulmonary, to musculoskeletal disorders. As CT technology has evolved to become widely accessible and increasingly sophisticated, concerns about the potential risks associated with ionizing radiation have intensified, particularly given the rising frequency of CT examinations globally.(1) This growing awareness has catalyzed a focused movement towards the optimization of CT protocols to achieve the clinical benefits of the modality while adhering to stringent radiation safety principles. CT imaging's importance in medicine cannot be overstated.

It provides rapid acquisition of volumetric data that can be reconstructed into multiple planes, facilitating detailed anatomical and pathological evaluation that surpasses traditional radiography in many respects. The precision of CT imaging enables early disease detection, guides biopsies and interventional procedures, assists in surgical planning, and monitors therapeutic efficacy. Such versatility and diagnostic power explain the exponential increase in CT utilization worldwide.(2) However, the modality's reliance on ionizing radiation has raised legitimate concerns regarding cumulative exposure risks, especially in vulnerable populations such as children, young adults, and patients requiring multiple repeat scans. Ionizing radiation, by imparting energy to biological tissues, can induce DNA damage which underpins the stochastic risk of carcinogenesis and deterministic effects that manifest as tissue injury at higher doses. Given these radiobiological considerations, minimizing radiation dose without sacrificing diagnostic accuracy is a cardinal objective in modern CT practice. Fortunately, profound advances in CT technology, imaging physics, and computational techniques have enabled practitioners to systematically reduce radiation dose while preserving or even enhancing image quality.(2) This goal resonates deeply with the guiding principle of radiation protection in medical imaging known as ALARA—"As Low As Reasonably Achievable." ALARA embodies a philosophy that mandates the prudent and deliberate lowering of radiation doses to the minimum necessary level appropriate for the diagnostic task, balancing the risk-benefit ratio. Implementing ALARA requires a multipronged strategy involving justification of imaging indication, individualized protocol adjustment, equipment optimization, staff education, and deployment of emerging technologies.(3) The scientific foundation underpinning these efforts is multifaceted, integrating advances in detector sensitivity, innovative image reconstruction algorithms, spectral shaping, and dose modulation techniques. For example, technological breakthroughs like iterative reconstruction algorithms enhance image quality by reducing noise and artifacts in low-dose acquisitions. (4)Hardware innovations including tin filtration and high-pitch scanning minimize excessive radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic contrast. Furthermore, the precision tailoring of scanning parameters such as tube voltage and current, scan time, and slice thickness based on patient size and clinical indication allows for optimized balance between radiation dose and image fidelity.

This review aims to provide an updated and comprehensive overview of low-dose CT protocols coupled with image quality optimization techniques. It synthesizes the current understanding of CT dosimetry and radiation risks, reviews the state-of-the-art in technological and protocol advancements, and evaluates contemporary image quality enhancement strategies. Additionally, the review highlights key clinical applications, including lung cancer screening, COVID-19 imaging, and musculoskeletal evaluations, supported by recent evidentiary data. Each section elucidates the mechanisms, benefits, challenges, and evolving trends guiding the quest for safer, yet highly effective CT imaging protocols.

2.CT Dosimetry and Radiation Risks

Computed tomography (CT) dosimetry represents a cornerstone in radiation safety, providing quantitative metrics essential for the evaluation, monitoring, and optimization of radiation exposure to patients undergoing CT examinations. These metrics facilitate both clinical decision-making and technological innovations aimed at minimizing dose while preserving diagnostic yield. The most widely used dose parameters are the volume CT dose index (CTDIvol), dose-length product (DLP), and the effective dose (ED). CTDIvol quantifies the average radiation output normalized over a standardized phantom volume per slice, offering a standardized metric of scanner radiation output independent of patient size. It provides an estimate of the dose in a volume irradiated during a single gantry rotation, serving as a basis for protocol comparison and optimization.

The DLP represents the total radiation dose delivered over the entire scan length, computed by multiplying CTDIvol by the scan length (in cm).(5) This measurement reflects the integrated dose burden encompassing the entire anatomical region scanned, vital for assessing cumulative exposure during extended imaging studies or multiphase protocols. Effective dose, expressed in millisieverts (mSv), further refines this concept by incorporating tissue-weighting factors that account for the differential radiosensitivity of irradiated organs, thereby estimating the overall stochastic risk to a patient from the CT procedure. ED, thus, correlates radiation exposure with the likelihood of radiation-induced cancer and genetic effects, enabling risk-benefit analyses and institutional benchmarking for radiation management programs.(6)

The underlying principle guiding radiation protection in CT is the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) concept—a comprehensive, patient-centered strategy emphasizing that radiation doses should be minimized as far as achievable without compromising the clinical utility of the images. ALARA embodies a proactive paradigm shift from mere dose limitation to optimized imaging tailored for each patient and diagnostic indication. It encompasses justification, which demands that every CT examination be clinically warranted and likely to influence management; optimization, which involves technical and procedural strategies to reduce dose; and quality assurance, including personnel training and equipment calibration. Technologies such as automated exposure control, size-specific parameter adjustments, and real-time dose monitoring support adherence to ALARA, a principle embedded in international radiation safety regulations and reinforced by professional societies. ALARA is particularly critical in populations sensitive to radiation, such as pediatric patients and those requiring repeated imaging.(7)

Radiation-associated risks from CT are classified into stochastic and deterministic effects, both warranting precise dose management. Stochastic effects refer to probabilistic consequences that may manifest years after exposure, with radiation-induced carcinogenesis being the primary concern. These biological effects result from DNA mutations within irradiated cells, and risk models suggest no safe threshold; risk escalates with cumulative dose. The latency period and dose-response relationship make the estimation of lifetime attributable risk a key aspect of radiation epidemiology.(8) Deterministic effects—such as skin erythema, cataracts, and tissue necrosis—occur only if a threshold radiation dose is exceeded, which is rare in diagnostic CT due to comparatively low exposure levels. However, inadvertent high-dose exposures or repeated scans can occasionally approach thresholds, especially in interventional CT or multiphase studies. Hence, understanding and mitigating these risks through optimized dosimetry is foundational to patient safety in CT imaging.

3. Advances in Low-Dose CT (LDCT) Protocols

The rapid technological evolution of CT imaging hardware has been a driving force propelling the development of effective and safe low-dose CT (LDCT) protocols. Multidetector computed tomography (MDCT), the backbone of contemporary imaging, ushered in the age of rapid, high-resolution volumetric scanning by acquiring multiple slices simultaneously. This advancement dramatically reduced scan time and motion artifacts while allowing thinner slices that enhance spatial detail.(9) Dual-source CT technology, featuring two X-ray tubes and detector arrays offset by 90 degrees, improves temporal resolution and enables dose modulation tailored dynamically to patient anatomy and clinical needs. This configuration allows for simultaneous dual-energy imaging and faster acquisitions, supporting dose-sparing high-pitch scanning modes. High-pitch helical scanning further reduces radiation exposure by increasing the table speed during image acquisition, minimizing patient residence time and overlap of radiation fields while ensuring adequate sampling of anatomy. Spectral shaping through tin filtration represents another breakthrough, selectively removing low-energy photons that contribute disproportionately to patient dose without improving image contrast. This filtration not only reduces radiation burden but also enhances image quality by narrowing the X-ray beam spectrum.(10)

In addition to hardware, precise protocol adjustments are paramount to dose reduction. Tailoring the tube voltage (kVp) forms a cornerstone; lower kVp settings (e.g., 80-100 kVp) reduce radiation dose exponentially and enhance contrast, especially in pediatric and slender patients. Tube current (mA) modulation, often automated, adjusts the radiation output in real-time based on patient attenuation characteristics to optimize dose per region scanned. Scan time reduction limits motion artifacts and radiation delivery. Slice thickness selection balances spatial resolution and noise levels—thinner slices improve detail but increase noise, requiring compensation through iterative algorithms.(11) Together, these parameters allow personalized, indication-specific scanning protocols, achieving ultra-low-dose CT exams with effective doses well below 1 mSv in many applications. Such protocols are transformative for screening programs and follow-up imaging, dramatically lowering cumulative radiation risks.

4. Image Quality Optimization Techniques

Image quality in CT directly impacts diagnostic confidence and patient outcomes. The key metrics governing image quality include the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR). SNR quantifies the ratio of true anatomical signal to image noise, while CNR evaluates the contrast differential between lesions and adjacent tissues relative to noise, reflecting the ability to distinguish pathology. Dose reduction inherently increases image noise and can degrade SNR and CNR, challenging the detection of subtle lesions and nuanced diagnostic features.(12) Addressing this challenge has been the focus of significant recent innovation. Iterative reconstruction (IR) algorithms, available in various proprietary forms such as adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction (ASIR) and model-based iterative reconstruction (MBIR), have established themselves as pivotal technologies for LDCT. These algorithms apply multiple rounds of sophisticated computation to raw projection data or image domain data, progressively reducing noise and correcting for artifacts. Unlike traditional filtered back projection, IR algorithms incorporate system physics and statistical noise models, allowing a substantial reduction in radiation dose (often 50-80%) without commensurate image quality loss. Model-based IR, the most computationally intensive variant, incorporates detailed modeling of scanner geometry and noise sources, enabling highly refined images with improved spatial resolution and lower noise, further pushing the boundaries of dose reduction.(13)

Comparative studies confirm that low-dose CT with advanced IR algorithms yields image quality sufficient for clinical diagnosis across diverse applications, including pulmonary nodule detection, interstitial lung disease evaluation, and musculoskeletal trauma imaging. In many cases, diagnostic performance matches or surpasses that of conventional-dose protocols, providing confidence to clinicians while safeguarding patients.(14) Modern CT scanners also integrate AI-assisted reconstruction techniques promising further noise reduction and artifact correction, ushering in a new era of imaging quality enhancement at ultralow radiation doses.

5. Clinical Applications and Evidence

Low-dose CT protocols have garnered robust evidence supporting their clinical utility and safety, spanning screening, infectious, and trauma-related applications. Lung cancer screening using LDCT represents the most extensively studied and widely implemented application. Seminal trials like the National Lung Screening Trial (NLST) demonstrated a 20% mortality reduction with annual LDCT screening in high-risk smokers. Subsequent programs including the International Early Lung Cancer Action Program (I-ELCAP) and contemporary European trials have expanded and refined these findings, confirming reductions in lung cancer-specific mortality ranging from 12% to 20% alongside challenges related to false positives and overdiagnosis[webvances in LDCT imaging technology and optimized screening protocols have improved baseline accuracy, reducing unnecessary biopsies and costs.(15) The COVID-19 pandemic spurred rapid adoption of LDCT protocols to assess viral pneumonia, particularly where molecular testing was limited. Multiple prospective studies reported that low-dose chest CT with doses as low as 1-2 mSv provided high sensitivity (>90%) and specificity for identifying characteristic lung parenchymal changes such as ground-glass opacities and consolidation, with much lower radiation burden compared to conventional CT. (16)These findings validated LDCT as a safe and effective frontline imaging modality in pandemic management, reducing communal exposures and cumulative patient doses.

In musculoskeletal imaging, LDCT offers significant benefits in trauma diagnostics and oncology. Whole-body CT with ultra-low-dose protocols using iterative reconstruction detects fractures, bone lesions, and extent of metastatic disease with remarkable sensitivity while reducing radiation by up to 90%, critical in populations needing repeated imaging.(17) Studies confirm high specificity, ensuring reliable clinical decisions with minimal radiation risk. Below in **Table-1** is an enhanced table summarizing ten key evidences from the past five years highlighting radiation reduction, image optimization approaches, diagnostic performance, and clinical outcomes across major LDCT applications.

Table 1: Summarize radiation reduction, image optimization approaches, diagnostic performance, and clinical outcomes across major LDCT applications.

Study/Application	Radiation Dose Reduction	Image Optimization Technique	Diagnostic Accuracy & Clinical Outcome	Ref.
Lung cancer screening (NLST, meta-analyses)	Effective dose ~1-2 mSv	Protocol tailoring + Iterative Reconstruction	Mortality reduction up to 20%; improved nodule detection	(18)
Ultra-Low-Dose CT for Pulmonary Nodules	70-80% dose reduction	Sinogram-Affirmed Iterative Reconstruction (SAFIRE)	Accurate nodule size, improved lesion conspicuity	(19)
COVID-19 Pneumonia Imaging with LDCT	Dose reduced by ~70% (to ~1.2 mSv)	Low mAs protocols + iterative reconstruction	Sensitivity and specificity >90%	(20)
Musculoskeletal Trauma & Oncology LDCT	Up to 90% dose reduction	Model-based IR + protocol adjustment	High sensitivity/specificity for fractures and metastases	(21)
Pediatric CT with ALARA and Size-specific Dose	Weight-adjusted dose reduction	Automated tube current/voltage modulation	Diagnostic images with very low dose	(22)
Chest CT for Lung Diseases Assessments	Low kVp (80-100 kVp) protocols	Advanced iterative and model-based IR	Comparable accuracy in interstitial and infectious diseases	(23)
Whole-Body Ultra-Low-Dose CT in Oncology	Effective dose <1 mSv	Advanced iterative reconstruction and spectral shaping	Optimal disease staging with minimal cumulative dose	(24)
Cardiovascular CT Imaging with Dual-Source	40-60% dose reduction	Dual-source CT + high-pitch scanning	Maintained coronary artery visualization	(25)
LDCT for Lung Nodule Follow-Up	Significant reduction in cumulative dose	Hybrid IR algorithms	Reliable in monitoring nodule progression	(26)
Quality Assurance in LDCT Screening Programs	Continuous dose optimization	Automated dose tracking systems	Improved adherence to ALARA, diagnostic quality	(27)

The widespread adoption of low-dose CT protocols underpinned by these advances and supported by strong clinical evidence reflects a matured integration of radiation safety and diagnostic excellence. It highlights the transformative power of technological innovation, scientific rigor, and clinical stewardship in evolving CT imaging into a safer, patient-centric tool.

6. Patient-Centered Dose Optimization Strategies

Patient-centered dose optimization in CT imaging emphasizes a personalized approach to radiation management, tailoring scanning parameters to individual patient characteristics to minimize radiation burden while ensuring diagnostic quality. One foundational strategy is adjusting scanning parameters based on patient weight and body habitus. Increased body mass leads to greater X-ray attenuation, necessitating higher tube current (mA) or voltage (kVp) for adequate image quality; however, unadjusted standard protocols can result in unnecessarily high doses or reduced image fidelity. (28)Weight-based modulation leverages automated exposure control systems and manual adjustments guided by clinical protocols to balance dose and signal adequacy effectively. This approach ensures that smaller patients are not exposed to unnecessarily high doses, while larger patients receive sufficient radiation to maintain image clarity. Protocol tailoring also extends to differentiating protocols by anatomical region and clinical indication. Different body parts vary in tissue composition, thickness, and diagnostic requirements, thus demanding customized selection of kVp, mA, slice thickness, and scan length. For example, chest CT protocols use lower kVp settings complemented with high tube current to emphasize soft tissue contrast, while abdominal protocols may require higher kVp due to increased tissue density. Moreover, clinical indication (29)whether screening, diagnosis, or follow-up—influences radiation allowance, with screening requiring the lowest possible dose and interventional imaging permitting slightly higher doses for superior resolution when warranted. This precision supports ALARA adherence on a case-by-case basis, optimizing radiation dose without compromising the clinical task. Special considerations exist for pediatric and other vulnerable populations due to their increased radiosensitivity and longer expected lifespan, which increases lifetime cancer risks. Pediatric dose optimization mandates stringent adjustment of scanning parameters, often requiring kVp as low as 80 or 70 with careful modulation of mA, alongside the judicious use of shielding and limiting scanned volume to the region of interest. Pediatric-specific protocols also emphasize noise reduction technologies such as iterative reconstruction to preserve image quality at reduced doses.(30) Vulnerable groups such as pregnant women, the elderly, and patients with frequent imaging needs similarly benefit from comprehensive dose management strategies encompassing justification, optimization, and monitoring.

7. Limitations and Challenges

Despite significant progress, several intrinsic limitations and challenges persist in balancing dose reduction with image quality. A principal challenge is the trade-off between lowering radiation dose and preserving image quality; reducing dose inherently increases image noise and reduces contrast resolution, potentially obscuring small or low-contrast lesions. This can limit diagnostic confidence, especially for subtle pathologies such as early lung nodules or fine bone detail in trauma imaging. The delicate balance requires rigorous protocol optimization and technological innovation to mitigate noise without sacrificing essential diagnostic information. Diagnostic performance limits in ultra-low-dose CT settings represent another challenge. While iterative reconstruction and advanced acquisition techniques have improved image quality at low doses, some clinical applications may still suffer sensitivity loss, especially in complex or subtle disease states.(31) Lung cancer screening, although ultra-low-dose protocols reduce radiation substantially, they may increase false negatives or limit characterization of nodules, necessitating follow-up scans. Similarly, musculoskeletal imaging at ultralow doses can challenge fracture detection in certain anatomical locations. Thus, clinical judgment and follow-up imaging strategies remain critical in these scenarios.(32)

Radiologist experience and training constitute an additional dimension of challenge. Interpreting low-dose CT images, which may have increased noise or altered texture compared to standard-dose CT, requires specialized training and expertise to maintain diagnostic accuracy. Radiologists must be familiar with the appearance of artifacts and the limitations of low-dose protocols to differentiate true pathology from noise-induced variations. Training programs and continual professional development play key roles in equipping clinicians to exploit LDCT advances effectively and safely. Adoption barriers related to cost, availability of advanced reconstruction software, and institutional workflow changes also hamper universal implementation of optimized LDCT.(33)

8. Future Directions in CT Dose Optimization

Future directions in CT dose optimization are promising, driven largely by rapid advances in artificial intelligence (AI) and next-generation hardware technologies. Emerging deep learning-based image reconstruction algorithms represent a paradigm shift from traditional iterative methods. These AI-powered approaches leverage large data sets to learn complex noise patterns and structural features, enabling unprecedented noise reduction and artifact correction from ultra-low-dose CT scans. Early studies demonstrate that deep learning reconstruction (DLR) can maintain or even enhance diagnostic image quality while reducing dose beyond current IR capabilities(34). AI is also being integrated into dose planning and real-time parameter optimization. Machine learning models predict optimal scanning parameters based on patient anatomy, clinical indication, and historical imaging data, enabling intelligent and adaptive dose modulation personalized to individual patients. Additionally, AI-driven image enhancement techniques are facilitating improved lesion detection, characterization, and quantification on low-dose images, supporting radiologists in delivering more accurate diagnoses at reduced radiation risk.

Hardware innovations complement these software advances. Next-generation CT scanners incorporate photon-counting detectors that improve dose efficiency by directly measuring X-ray photons with spectral resolution, significantly enhancing contrast and spatial resolution at reduced radiation doses. These detectors enable advanced spectral imaging and functional tissue characterization with lower dose exposure compared to conventional energy-integrating detectors. Other hardware trends include more sensitive detectors, faster gantry rotation speeds, and improved beam-shaping filters, collectively supporting dose lowering. Integrating AI-enabled reconstruction, personalized dose planning, and cutting-edge hardware heralds the upcoming era of "smart CT," characterized by maximal dose reduction without image quality compromise.(35) These developments promise safer, faster, and more informative CT diagnostics, supporting expanded screening programs, vulnerable populations, and broader clinical applications.

CONCLUSION

Low-dose computed tomography (LDCT) has emerged as a transformative approach in medical imaging, balancing CT’s diagnostic strengths with reduced radiation exposure. Once a niche concept, it is now widely validated for applications such as cancer screening, infectious disease evaluation, and trauma assessment. Advances in scanner technology, spectral shaping, and iterative reconstruction have enabled significant dose reductions while maintaining image quality. Patient-specific protocols, especially for children, further enhance safety by tailoring radiation to clinical needs. Despite progress, challenges remain in achieving ultra-low doses without compromising diagnostic accuracy and ensuring radiologist expertise. Looking forward, AI-driven reconstruction, dose optimization, and next-generation detector technologies like photon-counting promise to further improve safety, precision, and clinical confidence, reinforcing radiology's commitment to minimizing harm while maximizing patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1]. Lancaster HL, Heuvelmans MA, Oudkerk M. Low-dose computed tomography lung cancer screening: Clinical evidence and implementation research. J Intern Med. 2022 Jul 24;292(1):68–80.
[2]. Zhou SK, Greenspan H, Davatzikos C, Duncan JS, Van Ginneken B, Madabhushi A, et al. A Review of Deep Learning in Medical Imaging: Imaging Traits, Technology Trends, Case Studies With Progress Highlights, and Future Promises. Proceedings of the IEEE. 2021 May;109(5):820–38.
[3]. Rao S, Sharan K, Chandraguthi SG, Dsouza RN, David LR, Ravichandran S, et al. Advanced Computational Methods for Radiation Dose Optimization in CT. Diagnostics. 2024 Apr 29;14(9):921.
[4]. Chandran M O, Pendem S, P S P, Chacko C, - P, Kadavigere R. Influence of deep learning image reconstruction algorithm for reducing radiation dose and image noise compared to iterative reconstruction and filtered back projection for head and chest computed tomography examinations: a systematic review. F1000Res. 2024 Apr 15;13:274.
[5]. Bos D, Guberina N, Zensen S, Opitz M, Forsting M, Wetter A. Radiation exposure in computed tomography. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2023 Mar 3;
[6]. Najjar R. Radiology's Ionising Radiation Paradox: Weighing the Indispensable Against the Detrimental in Medical Imaging. Cureus. 2023 Jul 10;
[7]. Strauss KJ, Kaste SC. The ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) concept in pediatric interventional and fluoroscopic imaging: striving to keep radiation doses as low as possible during fluoroscopy of pediatric patients—a white paper executive summary. Pediatr Radiol. 2006 Sep 22;36(S2):110.
[8]. Little MP, Wakeford R, Tawn EJ, Bouffler SD, Berrington de Gonzalez A. Risks Associated with Low Doses and Low Dose Rates of Ionizing Radiation: Why Linearity May Be (Almost) the Best We Can Do. Radiology. 2009 Apr;251(1):6–12.
[9]. Vonder M, Dorrius MD, Vliegenthart R. Latest CT technologies in lung cancer screening: protocols and radiation dose reduction. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2021 Feb;10(2):1154–64.
[10]. Booij R, Budde RPJ, Dijkshoorn ML, van Straten M. Technological developments of X-ray computed tomography over half a century: User's influence on protocol optimization. Eur J Radiol. 2020 Oct;131:109261.
[11]. Nakaura T, Kidoh M, Nakamura S, Doi Y, Shiraishi S, Awai K, et al. Low-dose abdominal CT protocols with a tube voltage setting of 100 kVp or 80 kVp: Performance of radiation dose reduction and influence on visual contrast. Clin Radiol. 2014 Aug;69(8):804–11.
[12]. Hoeijmakers EJI, Martens B, Wildberger JE, Flohr TG, Jeukens CRLPN. Objective assessment of diagnostic image quality in CT scans: what radiologists and researchers need to know. Insights Imaging. 2025 Jul 10;16(1):154.
[13]. Shuman WP, Green DE, Busey JM, Kolokythas O, Mitsumori LM, Koprowicz KM, et al. Model-Based Iterative Reconstruction Versus Adaptive Statistical Iterative Reconstruction and Filtered Back Projection in Liver 64-MDCT: Focal Lesion Detection, Lesion Conspicuity, and Image Noise. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2013 May;200(5):1071–6.
[14]. Jin S, Zhang B, Zhang L, Li S, Li S, Li P. Lung nodules assessment in ultra-low-dose CT with iterative reconstruction compared to conventional dose CT. Quant Imaging Med Surg. 2018 Jun;8(5):480–90.
[15]. Huang KL, Wang SY, Lu WC, Chang YH, Su J, Lu YT. Effects of low-dose computed tomography on lung cancer screening: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and trial sequential analysis. BMC Pulm Med. 2019 Dec 11;19(1):126.
[16]. Suliman II, Khouqeer GA, Ahmed NA, Abuzaid MM, Sulieman A. Low-Dose Chest CT Protocols for Imaging COVID-19 Pneumonia: Technique Parameters and Radiation Dose. Life. 2023 Apr 12;13(4):992.
[17]. Saha S, Burke C, Desai A, Vijayanathan S, Gnanasegaran G. SPECT-CT: applications in musculoskeletal radiology. Br J Radiol. 2013 Nov;86(1031):20120519.
[18]. Vonder M, Dorrius MD, Vliegenthart R. Latest CT technologies in lung cancer screening: protocols and radiation dose reduction. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2021 Feb;10(2):1154–64.
[19]. Kim Y, Kim YK, Lee BE, Lee SJ, Ryu YJ, Lee JH, et al. Ultra-Low-Dose CT of the Thorax Using Iterative Reconstruction: Evaluation of Image Quality and Radiation Dose Reduction. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2015 Jun;204(6):1197–202.
[20]. Immonen E, Wong J, Nieminen M, Kekkonen L, Roine S, Törnroos S, et al. The use of deep learning towards dose optimization in low-dose computed tomography: A scoping review. Radiography. 2022 Feb;28(1):208–14.
[21]. Tonkopi E, Tetteh MA, Gunn C, Ashraf H, Rusten SL, Safi P, et al. A multi-institutional assessment of low-dose protocols in chest computed tomography: Dose and image quality. Acta Radiol Open. 2024 Jan 30;13(1).
[22]. Vonder M, Dorrius MD, Vliegenthart R. Latest CT technologies in lung cancer screening: protocols and radiation dose reduction. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2021 Feb;10(2):1154–64.

[23]. Ippolito D, Casiraghi AS, Franzesi CT, Fior D, Meloni F, Sironi S. Low-dose computed tomography with 4 th - generation iterative reconstruction algorithm in assessment of oncologic patients. World J Gastrointest Oncol. 2017 Oct 15;9(10):423–30.
[24]. Tore D, Rampado O, Guarnaccia C, Mina R, Oronzio M, Santonocito A, et al. Ultra-Low-Dose Whole-Body Computed Tomography Protocol Optimization for Patients With Plasma Cell Disorders: Diagnostic Accuracy and Effective Dose Analysis From a Reference Center. Front Oncol. 2021 Nov 17;11.
[25]. Goo HW. CT Radiation Dose Optimization and Estimation: an Update for Radiologists. Korean J Radiol. 2012;13(1):1.
[26]. Vonder M, Dorrius MD, Vliegenthart R. Latest CT technologies in lung cancer screening: protocols and radiation dose reduction. Transl Lung Cancer Res. 2021 Feb;10(2):1154–64.
[27]. Daniel Z. Solomon, Bezawit Ayalew, Seife T. Dellie, Daniel Admasie. Justification and Optimization Principles of ALARA in Pediatric CT at a Teaching Hospital in Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2020 Jan 1;30(5).
[28]. Bhalla AS, Das A, Naranje P, Irodi A, Raj V, Goyal A. Imaging protocols for CT chest: A recommendation. Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging. 2019 Jul 22;29(03):236–46.
[29]. Abuzaid M. Optimizing Radiation Dose in High-Resolution Chest CT: The Impact of Patient-Specific Factors and Size-Specific Dose Estimates. Diagnostics. 2025 Mar 16;15(6):740.
[30]. Kutanzi K, Lumen A, Koturbash I, Miousse I. Pediatric Exposures to Ionizing Radiation: Carcinogenic Considerations. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2016 Oct 28;13(11):1057.
[31]. Clement David-Olawade A, Olawade DB, Vanderbloemen L, Rotifa OB, Fidelis SC, Egbon E, et al. AI-Driven Advances in Low-Dose Imaging and Enhancement—A Review. Diagnostics. 2025 Mar 11;15(6):689.
[32]. Ding L, Chen M, Li X, Wu Y, Li J, Deng S, et al. Ultra-low dose dual-layer detector spectral CT for pulmonary nodule screening: image quality and diagnostic performance. Insights Imaging. 2025 Jan 10;16(1):11.
[33]. Lee W, Wagner F, Galdran A, Shi Y, Xia W, Wang G, et al. Low-dose computed tomography perceptual image quality assessment. Med Image Anal. 2025 Jan;99:103343.
[34]. Paudyal R, Shah AD, Akin O, Do RKG, Konar AS, Hatzoglou V, et al. Artificial Intelligence in CT and MR Imaging for Oncological Applications. Cancers (Basel). 2023 Apr 30;15(9):2573.
[35]. Ren L, Duan X, Ahn R, Kay F, Daftaribesheli L, Zhou W, et al. Photon-counting detector CT: technology overview and radiation dose reduction. British Journal of Radiology. 2025 May 27;