International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields (IJRRMF), ISSN: 2960-043X
Volume 5, Issue 1, January-June, 2026, Available online at: www.researchradicals.com

Disaster Preparedness in Emergency Medical Services: An Evidence Based Analysis of Planning and Response Effectiveness

Nawaf Abdullah Alqarni[1], Mashal Abdullah Assiri[2], Turki Mamdouh Al-Anazi[3], Nasser Jelban Al-Shahrani[4], Rami Naseer Al-Rashidi[5], Naif Owaidh Al-Mutairi[6]

[1,2,3,4,5,6]Emergency Medical Technician, PSMMC Region, Riyadh KSA

ABSTRACT

The catastrophes place massive pressures on Emergency Medical Services (EMS), which makes disaster preparedness an obligatory condition to successful response and treatment of patients. The current review is a critical evaluation of the existing body of scientific literature related to disaster preparedness in the EMS context with a focus on such aspects as the planning, workforce preparedness, management of resources, communication systems, inter-agencies coordination, and the effectiveness of the response. The reviewed literature highlights that preparedness is dynamic and holistic across time to respond faster, better coordinated, triaged, and patient outcomes in the event of a disaster. However, the system is still hampered by various barriers such as outdated plans, uneven training standards, labor shortages, shortages of resources, communication barriers, and ineffective assessment frameworks that hinder the efficacy of response. To this effect, the augmentation of EMS resilience and preparedness to upcoming calamities in the form of disaster comes highly recommended in fortification of evidence-based planning, standardised training, prudent resource distribution, strong communication frameworks, and well-disciplined evaluation strategy.

Keywords: Disaster preparedness; Emergency Medical Services; Disaster planning; Surge capacity; Emergency response; Interagency coordination; Communication systems; Response effectiveness

INTRODUCTION

Whether natural, technological, or anthropogenic, disasters pose significant challenges to the healthcare systems at global levels and often overwhelm the resources remaining, and in the process pose considerable risks to the health and safety of the population [1]. Epidemics, pandemics, industrial accidents, and mass-casualty incidents require fast, coordinated and effective emergency responses in an attempt to reduce morbidity and mortality [2]. In this context, Emergency Medical Services (EMS) are placed at a central role as the frontline directing forces charged with the responsibility of triage, stabilization, treatment, and transportation of victims [3]. The performance of EMS at the time of disastrous events is largely influenced by the quality of preparedness achieved by structured planning, training, resource distribution, and interagency coordination [4]. The preparedness of the EMS to disaster is the capacity to predict, react, and recuperate any disastrous incidents and maintain fundamental emergency activities [5]. It involves a wide range of elements, such as risk assessment, emergency planning, workforce preparedness, communications infrastructure, logistical supply, and commitment with larger frameworks of disaster management [6].

Empirical data suggests that well-equipped EMS systems are in a better position to be responsive, limit response times, improve patient outcomes, and increase system resilience when faced with large-scale emergencies [7]. Conversely, insufficient preparedness has been attributed to inadequate response, inefficient coordination, scarcity of resources, and augmented avoidable deaths [8]. The growing frequency and severity of catastrophes around the world over the last 20 years induced by climate change, urbanisation and new infectious diseases, have highlighted some of the most severe gaps in EMS preparedness and response capabilities [9]. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted weaknesses in emergency planning, workforce protection, surge capacity, and interprofessional collaboration, which are high-profile disasters. Such occurrences have strengthened the need to have evidence-based disaster preparedness plans that are flexible, scaled, and based on empirical performance information [10].

Although there are disaster response guidelines and frameworks, there is still variability in the way EMS jurisdictions plan and implement preparedness tasks [11]. Uneven organisational structure, funding, training levels, and geographical risk profiles are some of the factors that result in uneven preparedness levels in settings [12]. In addition, there is also a consistent problem with translating preparedness plans into practical on-scene responses [13]. The evaluation of disaster

preparedness efforts requires methodical examination of the empirical evidence that includes simulations studies, after-action reports, and observational studies as well as outcome-based evaluations [14]. This is a review article that attempts to offer an evidence-based discussion of disaster preparedness in Emergency medical services, particularly the strategies to plan and the effectiveness of response [15]. Through synthesis of the existing literature, the review explores the main preparedness components, what factors affect effective EMS response during disasters, and how preparedness actions affect the performance of operations and patient outcomes [16]. It further points out gaps in the research and practice, which can be used to develop policies, training programmes, and the direction of future research [17]. The enhancement of disaster preparedness in EMS is crucial to the efficient way of responding to emergencies but also the creation of resilient healthcare systems that will be able to endure the disasters in the future [18].

Review

1. Planning and Risk Assessment.

The Emergency Medical Services (EMS) disaster preparedness starts with systemic planning and assessment of vulnerability to hazards [19]. Empirical data has shown that EMS systems that have elaborate disaster response plans show excellent coordination, faster mobilization and more effective allocation of resources in times of emergency [20]. A risk-based planning framework allows agencies to predict potential threats such as natural disasters, pandemic, and mass-casualty events and prepare for them based on those predicted threats. However, many researches have indicated that disaster plans are often too old or not adequately exercised and thus, they have limited success in the face of a real disaster. Therefore, periodic examination of the plan, exercises, and flexibility are vital ingredients of effective preparedness [21].

Table 1: Planning and Risk Assessment in EMS Disaster Preparedness

Aspect	Description	Evidence/Implication
Systematic Planning	Development of structured and comprehensive disaster response plans	Improves coordination, accelerates mobilization, and supports effective resource allocation during emergencies
Hazard Vulnerability Assessment	Identification and analysis of potential risks and threats	Enables EMS agencies to anticipate disasters and prepare appropriate response strategies
Risk-Based Planning Framework	Planning based on predicted threats such as natural disasters, pandemics, and mass-casualty incidents	Enhances readiness and targeted allocation of resources
Plan Implementation Challenges	Disaster plans may be outdated or insufficiently tested	Limits effectiveness during real disaster situations
Plan Review and Exercises	Regular evaluation, drills, and simulations	Strengthens operational readiness and ensures plan relevance
Flexibility and Adaptability	Ability to modify plans based on evolving disaster conditions	Essential for effective response in dynamic emergency environments

2. Human Resources Preparedness.

Training is one of the critical factors of EMS response during disasters. Stable evidence in the literature indicates that regular disaster exercises, simulation trainings and scenario based training improve accuracy of the triage, clinical decision making, and team organization in high-stress scenarios [22]. The training involving nurses, paramedics, physicians, and other emergency workers enhances the role clarity and cooperation. In spite of these benefits, there is evidence of a great diversity in training frequency and quality among EMS systems, and shortages of workforce and inability to access disaster-specific education negatively influence preparedness [23] .

Table 2: Human Resources Preparedness in EMS Disaster Response

Component	Description	Evidence/Implications
Disaster Training Programs	Regular disaster drills, simulations, and scenario-based training	Improve triage accuracy, clinical decision-making, and performance in high-stress situations
Simulation-Based Exercises	Use of realistic disaster scenarios for training	Enhances practical skills, confidence, and readiness for real disasters
Interprofessional Training	Joint training involving nurses, paramedics, physicians, and other emergency personnel	Improves role clarity, teamwork, and interprofessional collaboration
Training Variability	Differences in frequency and quality of training across EMS systems	Leads to inconsistent preparedness levels
Workforce Shortages	Limited availability of trained EMS personnel	Reduces response capacity and overall preparedness
Access to Disaster-Specific Education	Availability of specialized disaster training resources	Lack of access negatively impacts EMS readiness and effectiveness

3. Resource Management and Surge Capacity.

The response to this disaster will require necessary resources and the ability to deal with the unexpected increase in the number of patients [24]. Empirical research shows that EMS agencies with well-defined surge-capacity plans, stockpiled medical supplies, and flexible staffing models are in better positions to cope with incidents involving mass-casualties [25]. On the other hand, the shortages of resources, the constraints of equipment, and the interruptions in the supply chains are typically mentioned as the hindrances that hinder the timely care. Big data of the public health emergencies point to the value of the availability of personal protective equipment and workforce safety to maintain the operational capacity of EMS [26].

Table 3: Resource Management and Surge Capacity in EMS Disaster Preparedness

Element	Description	Evidence/Implications
Surge Capacity Planning	Predefined plans to manage sudden increases in patient volume	Enhances EMS ability to respond effectively to mass-casualty incidents
Stockpiled Medical Resources	Availability of essential medical supplies and equipment	Supports uninterrupted emergency care during disasters
Flexible Staffing Models	Adaptive workforce deployment and role redistribution	Improves response efficiency under high demand
Resource Shortages	Insufficient supplies, equipment, or personnel	Delays care and compromises patient outcomes
Equipment and Supply Chain Constraints	Disruptions in logistics and availability of critical resources	Limits timely and effective EMS response
Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)	Availability of PPE for EMS personnel	Maintains workforce safety and operational capacity during public health emergencies

4. IT Systems and Communication.

Effectiveness in communication is another theme that is repeated in disaster preparedness literature. It has been indicated that communication and information transfer failures are among the main reasons of inefficient disaster response efforts. Standardized reporting protocols, information sharing (real-time) and interoperable communication systems enhance situational awareness and coordination of EMS, hospitals, and other emergency response agencies [27]. It has been demonstrated that the adoption of incident command system leads to the streamlining of the leadership structure and decision making; however, technological issues and the lack of training in the communication protocols continue to be a hindrance [28].

Table 4: IT Systems and Communication in EMS Disaster Preparedness

Component	Description	Evidence/Implications
Communication Effectiveness	Accurate and timely exchange of information during disasters	Critical for efficient disaster response and improved coordination
Communication Failures	Breakdowns in information transfer	Major contributors to ineffective disaster response
Standardized Reporting Protocols	Use of uniform communication and reporting systems	Enhances clarity, consistency, and situational awareness
Real-Time Information Sharing	Continuous data exchange among EMS, hospitals, and emergency agencies	Improves coordination and response decision-making
Interoperable Communication Systems	Compatibility between communication technologies across agencies	Facilitates seamless interagency collaboration
Incident Command System (ICS)	Structured leadership and command framework	Streamlines decision-making and organizational hierarchy
Barriers to Effective Communication	Technological limitations and inadequate training	Hinders optimal use of communication systems during disasters

5. Interagency Co-ordination and System Integration.

The interagency level has a significant impact on disaster response efficacy. Literature suggests that EMS agencies that are incorporated into the greater disaster management systems display better coordination and less overlap in services. Effective coordination between healthcare providers and fire services as well as law enforcement and the health authorities are possible by joint planning, shared training exercises, and well-defined roles [29]. However, inter-organizational siloes, jurisdiction, and different professional culture still hinder the smooth coordination of efforts in disaster response [30].

Table 5: Interagency Co-ordination and System Integration in EMS Disaster Preparedness

Aspect	Description	Evidence/Implications
Interagency Integration	Inclusion of EMS within broader disaster management systems	Improves coordination and reduces duplication of services
Joint Planning	Collaborative disaster planning among multiple agencies	Enhances preparedness and unified response
Shared Training Exercises	Combined drills involving EMS, healthcare providers, fire services, and law enforcement	Strengthens teamwork and operational coordination
Role Definition	Clearly defined roles and responsibilities among agencies	Reduces confusion and improves response efficiency
Inter-organizational Silos	Lack of collaboration across agencies	Impedes coordinated disaster response
Jurisdictional Challenges	Differences in authority and governance	Causes delays and conflicts during response efforts
Professional Cultural Differences	Variations in training, values, and operational approaches	Hinders seamless interagency collaboration

6. Response Effectiveness Evaluation.

The measure of disaster preparedness and response effectiveness is still difficult to assess methodologically[31]. The evidence is mostly based on observational studies, simulation-based analyses and post-disaster reports as opposed to randomized controlled trials. Although these restrictions exist, evidence indicates that the prepared EMS systems record lower response times, elevated efficiency in triage, and patient outcomes. The need to have standard performance indicators and evidence-based evaluation models that can be used to facilitate continuous quality enhancement in EMS disaster preparedness has been highlighted in the literature [32].

Table 6: Evaluation of EMS Disaster Response Effectiveness

Component	Description	Evidence/Implications
Evaluation Challenges	Difficulty in measuring preparedness and response effectiveness	Limits methodological rigor and comparability across studies
Study Designs Used	Observational studies, simulation-based analyses, and post-disaster reports	Provide practical insights but lack randomized control
Evidence Limitations	Absence of randomized controlled trials	Reduces strength of causal inference
Response Time Outcomes	Measurement of EMS response time during disasters	Prepared systems demonstrate reduced response times
Triage Efficiency	Accuracy and speed of patient prioritization	Improved triage performance in well-prepared EMS systems
Patient Outcomes	Morbidity and mortality indicators	Better outcomes associated with higher preparedness levels
Performance Indicators	Use of standardized metrics for evaluation	Supports objective assessment and benchmarking
Quality Improvement Models	Evidence-based evaluation frameworks	Facilitate continuous quality enhancement in EMS disaster preparedness

7. Evidence Gaps and Future Research.

Despite the large body of research on the EMS disaster preparedness, there are still considerable gaps. The lack of evidence in the low- and middle-income countries, the discrepancy in preparedness standards, and the lack of focus on the psychological well-being of EMS workers are significant weaknesses [33]. Future studies ought to focus on outcome-based preparedness scales, future assessments, and creative training and planning strategies, which will enhance resilience and effectiveness of EMS responses [34].

DISCUSSION

As this review shows, disaster preparedness in Emergency Medical Services (EMS) is a multidimensional process that is continuous and has a tremendous influence on disaster response effectiveness and patient outcomes [35]. The important elements include the systematic planning, the readiness of the workforce, management of resources and surge capacity, the presence of well-developed communication infrastructures, the interagency coordination, and the systematic assessment of the response [36]. Empirical studies show that risk-based planning and exercise drills mitigate the coordination and response time; however, outdated or under-tested plans are a common hindrance [37]. Human-resource readiness, with regard to disaster-specific and interprofessional training programmes, supplements the accuracy of triage and decision-making but the shortage of personnel and fluctuating training quality remains a limitation to overall preparedness [38]. Resource accessibility and scalability of the surge capacity is crucial in the mass-casualty events, and both supply shortages and logistical failures often endanger prompt patient care. Co-ordination is improved by good communication based on interoperability systems and incident command structures, albeit with ongoing technological and educational gaps [39]. Although interagency cooperation improves the efficiency of operations, there are still organizational and jurisdictional barriers [40]. Overall, despite the methodological limitations in the assessment of preparedness, the evidence supports the need to have standardized indicators and the adoption of integrated and evidence-based approaches to strengthen EMS disaster preparedness [41].

The Metaverse and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies have emerged as pivotal tools in improving disaster preparedness within Emergency Medical Services (EMS). These technologies offer immersive training environments, allowing EMS personnel to simulate high-stress, real-life scenarios without risk, improving decision-making under pressure. Additionally, the use of VR-based simulations helps in enhancing coordination, communication, and resource management during emergencies. Studies have shown that such technologies lead to better planning and faster response times in disaster situations, contributing to more effective emergency response teams and ultimately, improved survival rates [42,43].

CONCLUSION

In Emergency Medical Services, disaster preparedness is an important factor of an effective disaster response and healthcare system resilience. This review outlines preparedness as a complex spectrum of systematic planning, workforce competency building, resource and surge capacity tuning, the development of a sound communication infrastructures, Interagency coordination, and post-event evaluation procedures. Empirical studies have shown that EMS systems that have strict preparedness measures experience a shorter response time, better patient triage accuracy, better coordination

processes, and better patient outcomes in case of disaster events. Even though there are defined frameworks, there are still abiding gaps between preparedness agendas as stated and their execution. The presence of obsolete contingency plans, a diverse training plan, staffing shortages, limited resources, communication barriers, and insufficient assessment of them all contribute to the ineffectiveness of the EMS disaster response. These observations highlight the necessity of constant plan development, institutionalization of standardized disaster training programs, reliable resource management and coordinated communication and coordination strategies. It would be required to raise the level of EMS disaster preparedness with the help of a long-standing empirically-based plan supported by policy backing, adequate financial distribution, and regular performance evaluation. The future efforts focus must be made on the standardized preparedness measurements and adaptive responses models in order to increase EMS preparedness and resiliency during increasingly complex disaster situations.

REFERENCES

[1]. Salam A, Wireko AA, Jiffry R, Ng JC, Patel H, Zahid MJ, Mehta A, Huang H, Abdul-Rahman T, Isik A. The impact of natural disasters on healthcare and surgical services in low- and middle-income countries. Ann Med Surg (Lond). 2023 Jul 6;85(8):3774-3777. doi: 10.1097/MS9.0000000000001041. PMID: 37554857; PMCID: PMC10406090.

[2]. Madhav N, Oppenheim B, Gallivan M, et al. Pandemics: Risks, Impacts, and Mitigation. In: Jamison DT, Gelband H, Horton S, et al., editors. Disease Control Priorities: Improving Health and Reducing Poverty. 3rd edition. Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank; 2017 Nov 27. Chapter 17. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK525302/ doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0527-1_ch17

[3]. Alpert EA, Kohn MD. EMS Mass Casualty Response. [Updated 2023 Aug 8]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK536972/

[4]. Cvetković VM, Tanasić J, Renner R, Rokvić V, Beriša H. Comprehensive Risk Analysis of Emergency Medical Response Systems in Serbian Healthcare: Assessing Systemic Vulnerabilities in Disaster Preparedness and Response. Healthcare (Basel). 2024 Oct 1;12(19):1962. doi: 10.3390/healthcare12191962. PMID: 39408143; PMCID: PMC11475595.

[5]. Phalkey R, Dash SR, Mukhopadhyay A, Runge-Ranzinger S, Marx M. Prepared to react? Assessing the functional capacity of the primary health care system in rural Orissa, India to respond to the devastating flood of September 2008. Glob Health Action. 2012;5. doi: 10.3402/gha.v5i0.10964. Epub 2012 Mar 14. PMID: 22435044; PMCID: PMC3307669.

[6]. Herstein JJ, Schwedhelm MM, Vasa A, Biddinger PD, Hewlett AL. Emergency preparedness: What is the future? Antimicrob Steward Healthc Epidemiol. 2021 Oct 13;1(1):e29. doi: 10.1017/ash.2021.190. PMID: 36168490; PMCID: PMC9495548.

[7]. Goniewicz M, Bednarz K, Al-Wathinani AM, Goniewicz K. Assessment of Emergency Medical Service (EMS) response times and operational factors in out-of-hospital cardiac arrests (OHCA): a retrospective analysis. PostepyKardiolInterwencyjnej. 2025 Mar;21(1):25-36. doi: 10.5114/aic.2024.145345. Epub 2024 Nov 26. PMID: 40182110; PMCID: PMC11963042.

[8]. Afulani PA, Gyamerah AO, Nutor JJ, Laar A, Aborigo RA, Malechi H, Sterling M, Awoonor-Williams JK. Inadequate preparedness for response to COVID-19 is associated with stress and burnout among healthcare workers in Ghana. PLoS One. 2021 Apr 16;16(4):e0250294. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0250294. PMID: 33861808; PMCID: PMC8051822.

[9]. Liao H, Lyon CJ, Ying B, Hu T. Climate change, its impact on emerging infectious diseases and new technologies to combat the challenge. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2024 Dec;13(1):2356143. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2024.2356143. Epub 2024 May 29. PMID: 38767202; PMCID: PMC11138229.

[10]. McMichael AJ. Extreme weather events and infectious disease outbreaks. Virulence. 2015;6(6):543-7. doi: 10.4161/21505594.2014.975022. Epub 2015 Jul 13. PMID: 26168924; PMCID: PMC4720230.

[11]. Beyramijam M, Farrokhi M, Ebadi A, Masoumi G, Khankeh HR. Disaster preparedness in emergency medical service agencies: A systematic review. J Educ Health Promot. 2021 Jul 30;10:258. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_1280_20. PMID: 34485555; PMCID: PMC8396056.

[12]. O'Connor M, Hanlon A, Mauer E, Meghani S, Masterson-Creber R, Marcantonio S, Coburn K, Van Cleave J, Davitt J, Riegel B, Bowles KH, Keim S, Greenberg SA, Sefcik JS, Topaz M, Kong D, Naylor M. Identifying distinct risk profiles to predict adverse events among community-dwelling older adults. Geriatr Nurs. 2017 Nov-Dec;38(6):510-519. doi: 10.1016/j.gerinurse.2017.03.013. Epub 2017 May 4. PMID: 28479081; PMCID: PMC5991797.

[13]. Shafiei M, Nekoei-Moghadam M, Moradi SM, Saadatmand V, Tavan A. Factors affecting disaster preparedness in prehospital emergency dispatch centers: mapping of expert perspectives. Int J Emerg Med. 2025 Nov 22;18(1):246. doi: 10.1186/s12245-025-01053-5. PMID: 41275095; PMCID: PMC12642051.

[14]. Voicescu GT, Lamine H, Loșonți AE, Lupan-Mureșan EM, Luka S, Ulerio JG, Ragazzoni L, Corte FD, Caviglia M. Monitoring and evaluation in disaster management courses: a scoping review. BMC Med Educ. 2025 Feb 6;25(1):188. doi: 10.1186/s12909-025-06659-0. PMID: 39910476; PMCID: PMC11800627.
[15]. Beyramijam M, Khankeh HR, Farrokhi M, Ebadi A, Masoumi G, Aminizadeh M. Disaster Preparedness among Emergency Medical Service Providers: A Systematic Review Protocol. Emerg Med Int. 2020 Oct 26;2020:6102940. doi: 10.1155/2020/6102940. PMID: 33274079; PMCID: PMC7683168.
[16]. Khatri RB, Endalamaw A, Erku D, Wolka E, Nigatu F, Zewdie A, Assefa Y. Preparedness, impacts, and responses of public health emergencies towards health security: qualitative synthesis of evidence. Arch Public Health. 2023 Nov 30;81(1):208. doi: 10.1186/s13690-023-01223-y. PMID: 38037151; PMCID: PMC10687930.
[17]. Reeves S, Perrier L, Goldman J, Freeth D, Zwarenstein M. Interprofessional education: effects on professional practice and healthcare outcomes (update). Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013 Mar 28;2013(3):CD002213. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002213.pub3. PMID: 23543515; PMCID: PMC6513239.
[18]. Kim DH. Emergency Preparedness and the Development of Health Care Coalitions: A Dynamic Process. Nurs Clin North Am. 2016 Dec;51(4):545-554. doi: 10.1016/j.cnur.2016.07.013. PMID: 27863572; PMCID: PMC7094223.
[19]. Krishnaprasadh D, Gnugnoli DM. Emergency Preparedness. [Updated 2025 Dec 1]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537042/
[20]. Shafiei M, Tavan A, Moradi SM, Saadatmand V, Nekoei-Moghadam M. Multidimensional determinants of disaster response in prehospital emergency dispatch centers: content analysis of expert perspectives. BMC Emerg Med. 2025 Oct 21;25(1):208. doi: 10.1186/s12873-025-01354-1. PMID: 41120965; PMCID: PMC12539120.
[21]. Shmueli DF, Ozawa CP, Kaufman S. Collaborative planning principles for disaster preparedness. Int J Disaster Risk Reduct. 2021 Jan;52:101981. doi: 10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101981. Epub 2020 Nov 20. PMID: 36320361; PMCID: PMC9614352.
[22]. Nikitara M, Kalu A, Latzourakis E, Constantinou CS, Velonaki VS. Training Nurses for Disasters: A Systematic Review on Self-Efficacy and Preparedness. Healthcare (Basel). 2025 Dec 18;13(24):3323. doi: 10.3390/healthcare13243323. PMID: 41464391; PMCID: PMC12732735.
[23]. AlOtaibi SS, Almutairi HA, Alotaibi MK, Alharbi K, Bahari G. Enhancing Nurses' Disaster Management and Preparedness: Evaluating the Effectiveness of an Online Educational Program Through a Quasi-Experimental Study. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2024 Jan 10;17:101-111. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S446704. PMID: 38222797; PMCID: PMC10788064.
[24]. Masbi M, Tavakoli N, Dowlati M. Challenges of providing of special care services in hospitals during emergencies and disasters: a scoping review. BMC Emerg Med. 2024 Dec 18;24(1):238. doi: 10.1186/s12873-024-01160-1. PMID: 39695959; PMCID: PMC11657394.
[25]. Park S, Jeong J, Song KJ, Yoon YH, Oh J, Lee EJ, Hong KJ, Lee JH. Surge Capacity and Mass Casualty Incidents Preparedness of Emergency Departments in a Metropolitan City: a Regional Survey Study. J Korean Med Sci. 2021 Aug 23;36(33):e210. doi: 10.3346/jkms.2021.36.e210. PMID: 34427059; PMCID: PMC8382564.
[26]. Laparidou D, Curtis F, Wijegoonewardene N, Akanuwe J, Weligamage DD, Koggalage PD, Siriwardena AN. Emergency medical service interventions and experiences during pandemics: A scoping review. PLoS One. 2024 Aug 1;19(8):e0304672. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0304672. PMID: 39088585; PMCID: PMC11293743.
[27]. Lindström V, Jepsen K, Heldring S, Kanfjäll T, Rådestad M. A real-time communication and information system for triage, positioning, and documentation (TriPoD) in mass-casualty incidents: a qualitative observational study. BMC Emerg Med. 2025 Jul 6;25(1):115. doi: 10.1186/s12873-025-01274-0. PMID: 40619380; PMCID: PMC12232746.
[28]. Perez H, Neubauer N, Marshall S, Philip S, Miguel-Cruz A, Liu L. Barriers and Benefits of Information Communication Technologies Used by Health Care Aides. Appl Clin Inform. 2022 Jan;13(1):270-286. doi: 10.1055/s-0042-1743238. Epub 2022 Mar 9. PMID: 35263800; PMCID: PMC8906996.
[29]. Colling RL, York TW. Emergency Preparedness—Planning and Management. Hospital and Healthcare Security. 2010:591–619. doi: 10.1016/B978-1-85617-613-2.00024-0. Epub 2010 Mar 17. PMCID: PMC7152024.
[30]. Sadat SJ, Afrasiabifar A, Khorasani-Zavarehg D, Moradian MJ, Vafaeenasab M, Dehghani Tafti A, Fallahzadeh H, Khodayarian M. Exploring Barriers and Facilitators of Inter-Organizational Management in Response to Mass Casualty Traffic Incidents: A Qualitative Study. Bull Emerg Trauma. 2021 Apr;9(2):86-95. doi: 10.30476/BEAT.2021.89416. PMID: 34150919; PMCID: PMC8195833.
[31]. Elshami S, Yakti O, Mohamed Ibrahim MI, Awaisu A, Sherbash M, Mukhalalati B. Instruments for the assessment of disaster management among healthcare professionals: a scoping review. Front Public Health. 2025 Apr 11;13:1540743. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2025.1540743. PMID: 40290498; PMCID: PMC12021930.
[32]. Markou-Pappas N, Lamine H, Ragazzoni L, Caviglia M. Key performance indicators in pre-hospital response to disasters and mass casualty incidents: a scoping review. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2024 Oct;50(5):2029-2037. doi: 10.1007/s00068-024-02533-8. Epub 2024 Jul 11. PMID: 38990353; PMCID: PMC11599410.

[33]. Bhattarai HK, Bhusal S, Barone-Adesi F, Hubloue I. Prehospital Emergency Care in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. Prehosp Disaster Med. 2023 Aug;38(4):495-512. doi: 10.1017/S1049023X23006088. Epub 2023 Jul 26. PMID: 37492946; PMCID: PMC10445116.
[34]. El-Jardali F, Kanth PD, Nguyen SN, Varkey S, Duran D, Menon R, Fadlallah R, Malek A, Jain V, Saleh M, Buback L, Reid M. Emergency preparedness and health system resilience assessment tool: development and initial validation. BMJ Glob Health. 2025 Aug 11;10(8):e016459. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2024-016459. PMID: 40789713; PMCID: PMC12352223.
[35]. Beyrami Jam M, Aminizadeh M, Akbari-Shahrestanaki Y, Khankeh HR. Evaluating the disaster preparedness of emergency medical services (EMS) facilities: a cross-sectional investigation in Iran. BMC Emerg Med. 2024 Mar 25;24(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s12873-024-00932-z. PMID: 38523286; PMCID: PMC10962146.
[36]. Dichter JR, Kanter RK, Dries D, Luyckx V, Lim ML, Wilgis J, Anderson MR, Sarani B, Hupert N, Mutter R, Devereaux AV, Christian MD, Kissoon N; Task Force for Mass Critical Care; Task Force for Mass Critical Care. System-level planning, coordination, and communication: care of the critically ill and injured during pandemics and disasters: CHEST consensus statement. Chest. 2014 Oct;146(4 Suppl):e87S-e102S. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0738. PMID: 25144713; PMCID: PMC4504248.
[37]. Zhuge R, Ruzieva A, Chang N, Wang X, Qi X, Wang Q, Wang Y, Kang Z, Liu J, Wu Q. Evaluation of emergency drills effectiveness by center of disease prevention and control staff in Heilongjiang Province, China: an empirical study using the logistic-ISM model. Front Public Health. 2024 Feb 27;12:1305426. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1305426. PMID: 38481835; PMCID: PMC10936000.
[38]. Azizpour I, Mehri S, Soola AH. Disaster preparedness knowledge and its relationship with triage decision-making among hospital and pre-hospital emergency nurses - Ardabil, Iran. BMC Health Serv Res. 2022 Jul 20;22(1):934. doi: 10.1186/s12913-022-08311-9. PMID: 35854268; PMCID: PMC9296334.
[39]. Severson K. Interoperability in incident command. J Bus Contin Emer Plan. 2019 Jan 1;12(4):342-353. PMID: 31200797.
[40]. Auschra C. Barriers to the Integration of Care in Inter-Organisational Settings: A Literature Review. Int J Integr Care. 2018 Jan 16;18(1):5. doi: 10.5334/ijic.3068. PMID: 29632455; PMCID: PMC5887071.
[41]. Ongesa TN, Ugwu OP, Ugwu CN, Alum EU, Eze VHU, Basajja M, Ugwu JN, Ogenyi FC, Okon MB, Ejemot-Nwadiaro RI. Optimizing emergency response systems in urban health crises: A project management approach to public health preparedness and response. Medicine (Baltimore). 2025 Jan 17;104(3):e41279. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000041279. PMID: 39833043; PMCID: PMC11749675.
[42]. Kashwani R, Sawhney H. Dentistry and metaverse: A deep dive into potential of blockchain, NFTs, and crypto in healthcare. Int Dent J Stud Res. 2023 [cited 2026 Feb 07];11(3):94-98. Available from: https://doi.org/10.18231/j.idjsr.2023.021
[43]. Kashwani R, Nirankari K, Kasana J, Choudhary P, Ranwa K. Assessing Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practices of Augmented Reality Technology in Dentistry: A Cross-Sectional Survey. Oral Sphere J. Dent. Health Sci. 2025;1(1):1-10. doi: 10.63150/osjdhs.2025.30