International Journal of Research Radicals in Multidisciplinary Fields (IJRRMF), ISSN: 2960-043X
Volume 2, Issue 1, January-June, 2023, Available online at: www.researchradicals.com

Installation of Concrete with GGBS as a Substitute for Cement

Ashish Dhaka[1], Er. Abhishek Arya[2]

[1]M.Tech, Structural Engineering & Construction, Department of Civil Engineering, Matu Ram Institute of Engineering and Management, Rohtak, Haryana, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Matu Ram Institute of Engineering and Management, Rohtak, Haryana, India

ABSTRACT

On the other hand, it is believed that the high cost of concrete is due to the scarcity and high cost of its elements, which has led to the production of concrete being done using materials that are economically feasible alternatives. As a result, the price of concrete has increased significantly. Researchers have been interested in looking at novel options for the components that go into concrete as a result of this demand. This particular technical study's major purpose is to evaluate the qualities of concrete that has had a portion of its cement replaced with ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS). This is the abbreviated form of the material's full name: ground granulated blast furnace slag. This conversation will focus on the application of GGBS in concrete, as well as the positives and negatives associated with using it as a component. It is proposed that a new technique be created for the construction of a self-compacting concrete (SCC) mix that includes large volumes of ground granulated blast furnace slag (ggbs) as a material that may substitute cement. This would be done in order to fulfill the requirements of the American Concrete Institute (ACI). The investigation that was carried out to plan and validate this strategy concentrated primarily on three distinct facets. In the first half of this work, an existing micromechanical model for the plastic behavior of fluids containing inclusions is expanded. This allows the model to be used to determine the plastic viscosity of SCC, which was the focus of this particular investigation.

Keywords: GGBS, Cement, Substitute.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most detrimental elements that is contributing to the changes in the environment is the release of carbon dioxide into the atmosphere from the raw materials that are used in the manufacturing of cement. This is one of the most significant contributors. In recent years, a significant amount of study has been carried out on the subject of finding ways to lower emissions of carbon dioxide (CO2). Utilizing industrial byproducts or making use of additional cementing materials such as ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), fly ash (FA), silica fume (SF), and meta kaolin (MK) are two of the most effective methods for reducing the amount of carbon dioxide that is emitted by the cement industry.

This can be accomplished by utilizing industrial byproducts or by making use of additional cementing materials. Fly ash (FA), ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), and silica fume (SF) are some of the materials that fall under this category. The purpose of the current experimental inquiry is to discover a solution to these issues by replacing cement in the building process with ground-granulated blasting slag, often known as GGBS. The utilization of sand obtained from rivers as a significant component of building materials is not a unique practice by any stretch of the imagination. It has been graded very precisely, and there are likely to be granules of varied sizes spread throughout the entirety of the sample that was sent to you.

Sand from rivers is the material of choice for the great majority of construction projects that are considered to be examples of civil engineering. When the fine aggregate component of concrete was originally being manufactured, river sand was by far the most popular choice among all of the possibilities that were available at the time. River sand was the most preferred choice because it offered the greatest amount of flexibility. Because of the excessive usage of the material, environmental issues have surfaced, and the quantity of river sand that can be obtained has been reduced; as a consequence of these two circumstances, the price of the material has also increased. River sand that has always been present in rivers is becoming increasingly difficult to get, and as a result, more expensive. The utilization of quarry sand as a partial replacement for natural sand is an alternative method that may be utilized as an economically feasible choice in order to get out of this predicament. This is a strategy that can be utilized to get out of this difficulty. The incorporation of quarry dust into concrete has the effect of raising the material's strength to a greater degree than it was before at.

Main Objective

1. To investigate the qualities of newly placed concrete.
2. To employ GGBS in order to bring about an increase in the compressive strength of the pavement.

Quarry Sand

Origin as the by-product that is generated during the processing of the granite stones that are broken down into the coarse aggregate in a variety of sizes. Origin as the by-product that is formed during the processing of the granite stones.

Granite dust is a by-product that is produced during the processing of granite stones. Its origin is unknown. Granite dust is a by-product that is produced during the processing of granite stones. Its origin is unknown. Origin as a result of the formation of a by-product. Because the sort of rock that was processed determines the finished product's specific gravity, it is essential to select the appropriate rock for processing. In general, the fine aggregates or sand that is utilized comes from natural sources, primarily river bottoms or riverbanks. However, there are certain exceptions to this rule.

One of the most prevalent types of sources is found in river bottoms and riverbanks. The continual mining of sand is the principal cause of the frighteningly swift depletion of the natural sand supply that is occurring in our modern world at the current moment. This depletion of the natural sand supply is occurring in our modern world. The extraction of sand from river beds has resulted in a range of unfavorable outcomes for the ecosystem of the surrounding area. It is against the law to remove sand from rivers as a result of a number of environmental concerns, hence the government has taken this measure. This choice was made in response to the circumstances that had arisen. As a direct result of this, obtaining natural sand is becoming increasingly difficult, which has led to a steep spike in the price of this commodity?

It is of the utmost importance to look for alternatives to the practice of extracting sand from rivers. Quarry sand is the only type of sand that has the potential to successfully take the place of sand with another material over the long term. In addition to this, it is not feasible to get rid of it in a way that is acceptable, nor is it possible to do so in a way that is financially sustainable. This makes it impossible to get rid of it. However, it is possible for it to be utilized for a variety of construction purposes, such as sub grade, foundation base, and embankments, with the greatest degree of success if it is paired with other building materials, such as clayey soil. Clayey soil is an example of a building material. This is due to the fact that it will contribute to an overall rise in the structure's degree of steadiness. There is a shortage of land that possesses desirable qualities, particularly in terms of the type of soil it carries, as a direct result of the quick pace at which industrialization is taking place. It is possible to apply a method that is known as soil stabilization in order to fulfill the standards that are imposed by engineering. The expansive soil can have its qualities improved by the use of this method. In order to properly stabilize an environment, it is necessary to carry out research in the lab to establish the engineering properties of a material. Only then can the environment be stabilized.

Quarry Sand

Origin as a by-product that is generated during the processing of the granite stones that are broken down into the coarse aggregate in a variety of sizes.Origin as the by-product that is formed during the processing of the granite stones.Origin as the by-product that is formed during the processing of the granite stones. Origin as the by-product that is created.Origin as the by-product that is formed during the processing of the granite stones. Origin as the by-product that is created.Origin as the by-product that is created throughout the process. The type of rock that was processed determines the specific gravity of the finished product; hence, it is essential to select the appropriate rock for processing. The fine aggregates and sand that are utilized come, for the most part, from natural sources, specifically the riverbeds and riverbanks of rivers. The sediment found in river bottoms and riverbanks is particularly frequent. The ceaseless extraction of sand is the principal factor contributing to the startlingly rapid depletion of the natural sand supply that is taking place in our contemporary environment at the current moment.

The removal of sand from river beds has resulted in a number of detrimental ecological effects on the surrounding area. The government has made it illegal to take sand from rivers as a response to a variety of environmental concerns that have arisen. This decision was made as a response to the events that transpired. As a direct result of this, it is becoming increasingly difficult to get natural sand, which has led to a steep spike in the cost of this commodity. Finding alternatives to the practice of extracting sand from rivers is of the utmost importance. Only quarry sand is capable of successfully replacing sand with another material over the long term; no other type of sand will do. In addition to this, it is not feasible to get rid of it in a manner that is acceptable, nor is it possible to do so in a manner that is financially sustainable. This makes it impossible to get rid of it. However, in order for it to be utilized most successfully for a variety of construction purposes, such as sub grade, foundation base, and embankments, it must be combined with other building materials, such as clayey soil. Only then will it be able to achieve the best possible results. This is due to the fact that doing so will make the structure as a whole more stable. As a result of the quick pace at which industrialization is taking place, there is a dearth of land that possesses desirable qualities, particularly in terms of the type of soil it harbors. It's possible that you'll need to employ a strategy known as soil stabilization in order to fulfill the prerequisites for engineering. This approach is effective in enhancing the characteristics of expansive soil. It is necessary to carry out research in a laboratory to establish the engineering properties of a material before attempting to properly stabilize an environment.

Applications and Uses of Ggbs

When constructing long-lasting concrete structures, it is common practise to blend ground ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) with normal Portland cement and/or other pozzolanic components. This is done in order to create a material known as GGBS. Because of the advantages that it offers in terms of the durability of concrete, GGBS has been put to extensive use in Europe, and its use is expanding in the United States as well as in Asia (particularly in Japan and Singapore). This has made it possible to double the amount of time that constructions may remain in use, from fifty to one hundred years. Two of the most significant applications for GGBS are the production of quality-improved slag cement, also known as Portland Blast furnace cement (PBFC) and high-slag blast-furnace cement (HSBFC), and having a content of ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) that ranges, on average, from 30 to 70 percent; and the development of ready-mixed or site-batched durable concrete. The usage of GGBS may be found in each of these applications, although in varied degrees. Concrete made using GGBS cement typically takes longer to set than concrete made with regular Portland cement, however the length of time might vary depending on the amount of GGBS that is included in the cementitious material. On the other hand, when subjected to the conditions of manufacture, this particular kind of concrete keeps on being stronger over an extended period of time. This leads to a reduction in the heat of hydration and a smaller rise in temperature, in addition to making it easier to prevent cold joints. On the other hand, it could also have an impact on the construction of schedules in scenarios when a quick setup is absolutely necessary.

Uses of Ggbs

The most common application for GGBS is in ready-mixed concrete, where it accounts for one third of all ready-mix deliveries in the United Kingdom. Specifiers have a solid understanding of the several technical advantages that GGBS bestows upon concrete, including:

Improved workability, which facilitates simpler placement and compaction.

- Decrease the early age temperature rise, hence lowering the probability of thermal cracking in big pours.
- Complete removal of the potentially harmful effects of internal responses such as ASR
- High resistance to the entry of chloride, which lowers the danger of reinforcing corrosion
- Exhibits a high level of resilience to assault from sulphate and other pollutants.
- Provides significant advantages to the environment.

It is standard practice to combine ground ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) with regular Portland cement and/or additional pozzolanic components when creating concrete structures that are intended to persist for an extended period of time. This is known as the "GGBS blend." In order to produce a substance known as GGBS, this process is carried out. Because of the benefits that it provides in terms of the durability of concrete, GGBS has been put to significant use in Europe, and its usage is spreading not only in the United States but also in Asia (especially in Japan and Singapore). This is because of the fact that GGBS gives the advantages that it offers in terms of the durability of concrete. Because of this, it is now feasible to increase the period of time that a structure may remain in operation from fifty years to one hundred years. The production of quality-improved slag cement, which is also known as Portland Blast furnace cement (PBFC) and high-slag blast-furnace cement (HSBFC), and which has a content of ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) that ranges, on average, from 30 to 70 percent; and the development of ready-mixed or site-batched durable concrete are two of the most significant applications for GGBS. Although the degree to which it is utilized varies greatly from one application to the next, GGBS may be found in all of these uses. The curing time for concrete produced with GGBS cement is normally longer than the curing time for concrete produced with conventional Portland cement; however, the amount of GGBS that is included in the cementitious material might cause this time to vary.

On the other hand, when put through its paces throughout the manufacturing process, this specific variety of concrete is able to maintain gaining strength even after a significant amount of time has passed. This results in a reduction in the heat that is generated during hydration, which in turn leads to a lesser rise in temperature. Furthermore, this makes it simpler to avoid chilly joints. On the other hand, it might also have an influence on the construction of schedules in circumstances when an immediate setup is absolutely important. This could be the case in cases where a speedy setup is absolutely necessary.

Strength Gain in Ggbs Concrete

It is feasible to get 28-day strengths that are equivalent to those attained with Portland cement by replacing up to fifty percent of the Portland cement with ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS), which is also known as granulated ground granulated blast furnace slag. To arrive at this result, first sum up the total weight of all of the Portland cement and GGBS that were utilized in the process. When a larger percentage of GGBS is used, it is possible that the cementation content will need to be increased; this will be essential in order to obtain the same 28-day strength. When compared to concrete produced with Portland cement, concrete produced with ground-ground-up blast furnace slag (abbreviated as GGBS) develops its strength at a more consistent and predictable rate than its Portland cement counterpart. It will be easier to see a decline in early strength when GGBS levels are high and temperatures are low. The initial strength of a GGBS concrete will be lower than that of a concrete with the same strength after 28 days, but the GGBS concrete's strength will increase over the course of its lifespan to be greater than that of a concrete with the same 28-day strength. In ordinary conditions, the strength of concrete containing Portland cement will reach approximately 75 percent of its 28 day strength after seven days, with a little rise of five to ten percent occurring between the ages of 28 and 90. This is the case despite the fact that the strength of concrete will continue to improve between the ages of 28 and 90. It is anticipated that this increase in strength will continue for the next ninety days, until the concrete achieves its complete maturity. For example, a concrete mixture that is made of fifty percent ground granulated blasting slag (GGBS) would typically acquire between forty-five and fifty-five percent of its 28 day strength at seven days, with an increase of between ten and twenty percent from 28 to ninety days after placement. At a GGBS of 70%, the potency at seven days would typically be somewhere between 40 and 50% of the potency at 28 days, with the potency steadily increasing by 15 to 30% from 28 days to 90 days throughout the course of the whole incubation period. Up to the ninetieth day, this strengthening would continue unabated. Under typical conditions, the striking times for concretes that contain up to fifty percent ground ground cover stone (GGBS) do not rise sufficiently to have a substantial impact on the schedule for the construction project. GGBS is also known as ground cover stone. Because GGBS does not contribute to an increase in the volume of the concrete, this is the result. On the other hand, concretes that include a larger proportion of GGBS could not always acquire the necessary strength after one day to make it possible to remove vertical formwork. This is especially true at lower temperatures, when the cementitious concentration of the concrete is smaller, and when the portions of the concrete are thinner.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Throughout the course of their research, the researchers Pathan V.G, Ghutke V.S, and PathanG(2018) came to the conclusion that ground granulated blast furnace slag is a superior substitute for cement when compared to a variety of other options. This was one of the findings that emerged from their work. Slag-replaced concrete displays a sluggish rate of growth in its strength during the early phases; but, after the appropriate curing period, the strength continues to improve significantly. This is because the slag acts as a natural refractory. When more than half of the cement is replaced with another substance, the compressive strength of the material is reduced. When used in manufacturing, slag or cements derived from slag frequently result in improved workability as well as a reduction in the amount of water that is required for the production process. This is because slag has a relative density that is lower than that of the vast majority of other materials. The amount of paste that is used is raised, which is what makes it possible to accomplish this goal. They came to the conclusion based on their investigation that the quantity of compressive strength that could be created was maximized when 45% of the cement was replaced with GGBS. This finding was based on the findings of their investigation. This conclusion was drawn as a direct consequence of the results they made. They advocated for a regulation that would cap the percentage of slag that may be used in place of cement in construction projects in India to forty percent. This would be the maximum allowable substitution.

Latha K.S., Rao M.V.S., and Reddy V.S. (2018) came to the conclusion that the strength efficiency of GGBS increases by 89% in M20 grade concrete mixes, 41% in M40 grade concrete mixes, and 20% in M60 grade concrete mixes when compared to M20, M40, and M60 grade concrete mixes without any mineral addition at 28 days respectively. This was found when comparing M20, M40, and M60 grade concrete mixes to each other. This was established by contrasting the strength efficiency of GGBS with the strength efficiency of M20 grade concrete mixes that did not contain any additional minerals. These findings are a direct consequence of the research that they carried out. It was discovered that the optimal dose of the proportion of replacement of cement with GGBS was set to be 40%, 40%, and 50% in Ordinary (M20), Standard (M40), and High strength grade (M60) grades of concrete, respectively. This was found by doing research. This was discovered through several experiments and much investigation. They also came to the conclusion that utilizing GGBS in concrete mixes as a partial substitute for cement led to improved performance in terms of the material's strength as well as its capacity to survive wear and strain across all grades. This was the second result that they reached. This is because GGBS includes reactive silica, which imparts the quality of being extremely compatible with a wide variety of other compounds. As a result, this attribute explains why it is used. Over the course of time, it has been demonstrated that the compressive strength of a variety of various concrete mixes that were produced using GGBS replacement mixes increases. These concrete mixes were used to create buildings.

Based on their experiment, Mohammed Moyunddin, Varnitha MS, and SathishYA(2019) came to the conclusion that natural sand can be substituted with M sand to deliver high strength, and that GGBS can partially replace cement. Their findings were published in the journal Scientific Reports. After learning that M sand may also provide great strength, this verdict was arrived at as a result of the investigation. An increase in the dosage of admixture as well as an increase in the percentage of produced sand that is used in concrete both contribute to an increase in the compressive strength of the concrete. [Case in point:] an increase in the percentage of generated sand that is used in concrete. There is a cap on the total amount of manufactured sand that may be utilized at one time. When preparing concrete of the M30 grade, the maximum compressive strength may be achieved by exchanging quarry sand for natural sand at a ratio of 60% and raising the dose of the mixture by 1.5%. This adjustment allows for the use of quarry sand in place of natural sand. This results in the creation of concrete. The split tensile strength of concrete at the greatest dosage of combination when ground granite breaking stone (GGBS) and quarry dust are used in place of cement. To get the highest possible flexural strength, you should replace thirty percent of the cement with broken granite from a quarry and use sixty percent of the quarry sand as a continuous replacement for the fine aggregates. This will allow you to reach the maximum possible flexural strength. It is recommended that each of these processes be carried out at the same time. After being allowed to cure for a period of 28 days at a moisture level of 1.50%, the split tensile strength of concrete is 3.65 and 3.88 N/mm2 respectively. These values are determined after the concrete has been tested.

(2019) Chaithra.HL, pramod. K, and Dr. Chandrashekar. As a result of the experimental research, it was discovered that increasing the amount of GGBS in concrete led to an increase in the concrete's workability. This was the result of the improvement. This result is a direct inference drawn from the experiment that was carried out. It drops an even further when a greater proportion of the total sand is composed of quarry sand. It was able to get the best possible levels of compressive and flexural strength by exchanging GGBS for forty percent of the cement. This allowed for the achievement of the goal.

To achieve the highest possible flexural strength, cement was replaced with 40% GGBS, cement and sand were replaced with 50% GGBS, and sand was replaced with 40% quarry sand. In addition to that, sand was replaced with 40% ground glass and broken stone. It is feasible to reach the greatest possible spilt tensile strength by swapping cement for GGBS and quarry sand in a ratio of 50/50. This would result in the maximum possible spilt tensile strength. The usage of this combination in concrete comes highly recommended. The best compressive strength was achieved by replacing cement with GGBS at a ratio of 40% and natural sand with M sand at a ratio of 40%. Both of these substitutions were made in the same proportions. Both of these swaps were carried out using the same proportion of the original item. In order to attain the greatest flexural strength that was humanly conceivable, cement was replaced with GGBS at a ratio of 50%, and sand was replaced with quarry sand at the same ratio. Both of these changes were made simultaneously. Improves the concrete component's workability while also boosting its durability.

Dubey A., Chandak R and Yadav R.K (2019) After conducting a series of experiments, researchers came to the conclusion that 15% GGBS Powder could effectively be substituted for cement without causing an appreciable drop in the material's capacity to withstand compression. This conclusion was arrived at as a result of the findings that were uncovered during the study effort. They found that the compressive strength decreased by around thirty percent after seven days, fourteen days, and twenty-eight days when thirty percent cement was substituted for the initial quantity.

This was the case for all three time periods. The normal force per unit area decreased as a consequence of this, going from 21.03 N/mm2 to 15 N/mm2, 23 N/mm2 to 16.74 N/mm2, and 26.9 N/mm2 to 18.81 N/mm2 accordingly.

2015 research done by Dr. Chandrashekar A, Pramod K, and Chaithra H L. Through the use of experimental study, it was shown that an increase in the workability of concrete resulted in an increase in the quantity of GGBS that was present in the concrete. This was a discovery that lent support to their theory and supplied evidence in their favor. As additional quarry sand is added to the mixture, the concentration of it falls to a level that is much easier to work with.

When 40% ground glass fibre reinforced concrete (GGBS) was used in place of cement, the material's compressive and flexural strengths both attained their maximum levels. When the sand in the cement mixture is removed and replaced with 40% QS and 50% GGBS, the split tensile strength is able to attain its maximum potential. This allows the entire potential of the cement mixture to be used. As a consequence, the split shear strength improves as a result of this.

C. S. Mallikarjuna and Dr. D. V. PrasadaRao (2016). According to the findings of their research, a rise in the quantity of Compressive Strength by 18% after 28 days while utilizing a mixture of 40% GGBS and 50% Quarry Dust resulted in an increase in the material's total strength. This was shown to be the case. In compared to the control concrete, the split tensile strength of the concrete might potentially rise by as much as 23.5% when GGBS is used as a replacement at a rate of 40% and quarry dust is used at a rate of 50%. When the replacement ratios are utilized, this is the result that is shown. When compared to control concrete, the highest percentage improvement in flexural strength is attained when 40% and 50% of the cement and fine aggregate are substituted with GGBS and quarry dust, respectively. This is because these percentages correspond to the amounts of GGBS and quarry dust used in the control concrete.

Additionally, the flexural strength is maximized by utilizing this combination. This results in an increase of 29% for the whole thing. Because GGBS was used in place of 40% of the cement and quarry dust was used in place of 50% of the fine aggregate, the amount of water that was absorbed was cut down to an absolute minimum. Because of this, the calculated water absorption value is as low as it may possibly be. In conclusion, it is remarkable to notice that the outcomes of the tests modified in a variety of ways all followed the same pattern.

Nidhi Gupta and YogeshSoni (2016). During the course of their experimental inquiry, the researchers observed that as the percentage of GGBS in the concrete increased, the material became easier to work with. It drops to a much more manageable level as the proportion of quarry sand in the mixture grows. The flexural strength of the material was brought up to its full capability when 40% ground ground granulated blast furnace slag was used in place of cement. The flexural strength was brought up to its full capacity when half of the cement was switched out for GGBS and half of the sand was changed for QS.

Rahul (2016) The concrete industry is continually on the lookout for alternative cementitious materials in the hopes of locating a solution to the problem of successfully removing solid waste from the environment. Rice husk ash, quarry sand, and ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) are just a few examples of the many different types of solid wastes that may be created by industry. Other examples are rice husk ash, quarry sand, and ground granulated blast furnace slag. The partial substitution of cement with GGBS and RHA, in addition to the partial substitution of natural sand (NS) with Quarry sand, is a solution to this problem that is both environmentally friendly and efficient from an economic point of view. Both of these alterations may be performed simultaneously without any problems. The results of this inquiry will be given in two parts, each devoted to a separate topic. I have just entered the initial phase of the procedure. After seven days, fourteen days, and twenty-eight days of curing, the strength qualities of the concrete mix will be evaluated. After this, an analysis of the data together with a comparison to the nominal mix will be carried out. These properties include the material's compressive strength as well as its split tensile strength. The material also has these characteristics.

AnanthiArunachalam (2018). Her discoveries allow us to draw conclusions that are applicable not just with regard to the workability, strength, and durability of concrete, but also with regard to any and all other characteristics. Natural sand is replaced with manufactured sand in concrete of the M25, M30, and M40 classes, and 20 percent ground granite broken stone is used to partially replace cement in these concretes. In order to acquire the attributes that are needed, these replacements are utilized. Artificial sand has been found to be a material that is superior than natural sand in terms of both its strength and its lifespan. This discovery was made after it was determined that natural sand is the material that is superior. After the addition of GGBS and M-sand, the concrete exhibited an increase in both its tensile and compressive strengths. The M30 grade of concrete achieves a starting strength at the end of the seventh day that is superior to that of both the M25 and M40 grades of concrete. This occurs because the M30 grade of concrete is allowed to cure for longer. According to the results of the study in which three distinct grades of concrete were compared head-to-head, the M30 grade of concrete emerged as the winner as the most efficient option.

Er. Kimmi Garg, Er. Kshipra Kapoor (2016) It has been established via study and testing that ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) may be used as a substitute for cement. This results in a reduction in both the quantity of cement that is required and the total cost of constructing. The recycling of waste products from manufacturing processes contributes to the protection of the environment and the maintenance of natural resources.

CONCLUSIONS

GGBS mixes with ggbs values ranging from 0 to 80% may be generated in a dependable and rational way by following the mix design technique. The procedure results in both plastic and solidified mixtures. In the plastic stage, ggbs has an effect on both the yield stress and the dynamic viscosity of the ggbs. Because of the above assumption, attempting to explain a combination using only one property of a material, such as its viscosity, is insufficient. Plastic design curves need to be modified in order to accommodate mixtures including varying amounts of ggbs as a substitute. GGBS mixes with ggbs levels more than 25% have a restricted range of plastic characteristics and compressive strengths to choose from. Mixtures with less than 25% ggbs might nonetheless acquire specific characteristics and strengths.

REFERENCES

[1]. Chaithra H L, Pramod K, Dr. Chandrashekar A (2015). “An experimental study on partial replacement of cement by GGBS and natural sand by quarry sand in concrete” – International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)-ISSN: 2278-0181-Vol. 4 Issue 05, May-2015.

[2]. Dr. D. V. PrasadaRao, C. S. Mallikarjuna (2016). “An experimental investigation on properties of concrete by

partial replacement of cement with GGBS and fine aggregate with quarry dust" – International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) – ISSN (Online): 2319- 7064-Index Copernicus value (2016):79.57.

[3]. Yogesh Soni and Nidhi Gupta (2016). "Experimental investigation on workability of concrete with partial replacement of cement by ground granulated blast furnace and sand by quarry dust" – IJARIE – ISSN(O) – 22395-4396.

[4]. Rahul (2016). "Experimental investigation on partial replacement of cement by GGBS & RHA and natural sand by quarry sand in concrete" – International Journal of Engineering Research & technology (IJERT)-ISSN:2278-0181.

[5]. Arunachalam Ananthi (2018). Research Gate. IJSEA (2018) 1-6.

[6]. S.K. Sirajuddin and T. Venkat Das (2019). "Experimental investigation on properties of concrete by partial replacement of cement with GGBS and fine aggregate with quarry dust" – International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)- ISSN:2277-3878, volume-7, Issue-6C2, April 2019.

[7]. Mallesh M, Sajidulla Jamkhandi, Nandeesh M (2019). "Experimental study on partial replacement of cement by GGBS and fine aggregate by Robo sand for M25 Grade concrete" – International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) – e-ISSN: 2395- 0056 – Volume: 06 Issue:08/Aug 2019.

[8]. D. Suresh and K. Nagaraju(2021) Properties of Fresh and Hardened Concrete Containing Supplementary Cementitious Materials: State-of-the-Art Report of the RILEM Technical Committee 238-SCM, Working Group 4.

[9]. Santosh Kumar Karri, G. V. Rama Rao, P. Markandeya Raju "Strength and Durability Studies on GGBS Concrete", SSRG International Journal of Civil Engineering (SSRG - IJCE), V2 (10), 34-41 October 2015. ISSN: 2348 – 8352. www.internationaljournalssrg.org/IJCE/index.html. Published by: Seventh Sense Research Group.

[10]. Mojtaba Valinejad Shoubi, Azin Shakiba Borough, and Omidreza Amirsoleimani. (2013). . . "Assessment of the Roles of Various Cement Replacements in Achieving Sustainable and High-Performance Concrete". International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, 6 (1): 68-77.

[11]. Martin O. Connell, Ciaran McNally, and Mark G. Richardson. (2012). "Performance of Concrete Incorporating GGBS in Aggressive Wastewater Environments. Construction and Building Materials", 27 (1), 368-374.

[12]. "European Journal of Scientific Research ISSN 1450-216X Vol.88 No-1" October 2012, pp.155163@Euro journals Publishing, Inc. 2012 http://www.europeanjournalof scientific research.com.

[13]. AvelineDarquennes, Stephanie Staquet, and Bernard Espion. (2011). "Behaviour of Slag Cement Concrete under Restraint Conditions". European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 15 (5), 787-798.

[14]. Elsayed, A.A. (2011). "Influence of Silica Fume, Fly Ash, Super Pozz and High Slag Cement on Water Permeability and Strength of Concrete". Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering, 5 (2), 245-257.

[15]. Reginald B. Kogbara, and Abir Al-Tabbaa. (2011). "Mechanical and Leaching Behaviour of Slag-Cement and Limeactivated Slag Stabilized/Solidified Contaminated Soil". The science of the Total Environment, 409 (11), 2325-2335.

[16]. Peter W.C. Leung, and Wong, H.D. (2010). "Final Report on Durability and Strength Development of Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag Concrete". Geotechnical Engineering Office, Civil Engineering and Development Department, the Government of Hong Kong.